

**Sex for Every Body: Intimacy, Identity, and Body Dysmorphia Among Black
Women**

LaShonda H. Henderson

Modern Sex Therapy Institutes

2026

PUBLIC EDITION

*The therapeutic workbook developed as part of this doctoral project is not included in this edition and
is reserved for separate publication.*

Copyright © 2026 LaShonda H. Henderson. All rights reserved.

This public edition contains the scholarly component of the doctoral project Sex for Every Body: Intimacy, Identity, and Body Dysmorphia Among Black Women.

The Sex for Every Body therapeutic workbook, including its exercises, worksheets, prompts, clinician resources, appendices, and related materials, is not included in this edition and is reserved for separate publication.

This material is provided for educational and scholarly purposes and is not intended as a substitute for individualized mental health care, diagnosis, or treatment.

No part of this public edition may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior written permission from the copyright holder, except for brief quotations used for scholarly or review purposes.

Abstract

This doctoral project presents the development of *Sex for Every Body*, a culturally responsive therapeutic workbook designed to support Black women navigating body dysmorphia, body image distress, and intimacy-related challenges. Informed by literature on Body dysmorphic disorder (BDD), intersectionality, objectification theory, and attachment theory, the workbook synthesizes psychological and sexological frameworks into a structured, applied resource. This project addresses a gap in culturally specific, practice-based tools by centering sociocultural, relational, and embodied contexts that shape body image experiences among Black women.

Rather than presenting new empirical research, the workbook integrates psychoeducation, guided reflection, trauma-informed exercises, and relational awareness practices grounded in existing literature. Developed as a self-led and clinician-supported resource, the workbook emphasizes self-compassion, embodied awareness, and culturally affirming meaning-making. By translating theoretical and clinical knowledge into an accessible format, this project contributes to culturally responsive approaches in sexology and mental health. It emphasizes the importance of identity-informed interventions in addressing body image.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	3
Acknowledgement.....	7
Dedication	8
Sex for Every Body: Intimacy, Identity and Body Dysmorphia Among Black Women	9
Opening.....	9
Culture and Society	10
Problem Statement and Justification.....	11
Theoretical and Conceptual Framework.....	13
Significance: Why is the Problem Important?	13
Author Positionality	14
Definitions of Important Terms	14
Limitations	15
Summary	16
Literature Review	17
Body Dysmorphic Disorder: Clinical Overview and Implications for Black Women's	
Intimacy	17
Cultural Context and Relational Meaning	18
Diagnostic Criteria, Assessments, and Differential Diagnosis	19
Epidemiology and Functional Impact.....	20
Management and Treatment Approaches	20
Implications for Black Women and Cultural Gaps in Care	21

Measurement, Diagnostic Bias, and the Under-recognition of Body Dysmorphic Disorder in Black Women	22
Cultural Context, Insight, and the Problem of “Rational” Distress	25
Black Women, Body Image, and Identity.....	26
Media Exposure, Social Comparison, and Digital Embodiment in Body Dysmorphic Distress Among Black Women.....	29
Theoretical Framework.....	31
Mental Health, Trauma, and Embodied Intimacy.....	35
Mental Health and Emotional Intimacy	35
Mental Health and Physical Intimacy	36
Mental Health and Sexual Intimacy.....	37
Relational Outcomes: Communication, Conflict, and Satisfaction	37
Intimacy and Body Image Among Black Women.....	39
Body Dysmorphic Disorder and Intimacy: Dyadic Impact and Mechanisms	44
Limitations of Existing BDD Interventions for Black Women	48
Methodology	51
Project Design.....	51
Project Production Phases.....	52
Theoretical Foundations Informing the Methodology	55
Ethical Considerations	55
Revisions and Developmental Refinement.....	55
Challenges During Project Development.....	56
Outcome.....	57

Discussion	59
Overview	59
Addressing Gaps in Theoretical Understanding	59
Implications for Sexology	60
Clinical and Professional Relevance.....	60
Limitations	61
Future Directions	61
Conclusion	61
References.....	63

Acknowledgement

I extend my sincere gratitude to my doctoral committee, Dr. Karla Lloyd and Dr. Daniella Atwell-Brown, for their scholarly guidance, thoughtful feedback, and commitment to rigor and integrity throughout the development of this doctoral project. Your mentorship helped shape this work into a contribution that bridges theory and practice.

I am deeply thankful to the clients, colleagues, and communities who informed this work through their courage, honesty, and trust. Your stories, shared in clinical spaces, classrooms, and conversations, are reflected throughout these pages.

I also acknowledge the lineage of scholars, clinicians, and Black feminist thinkers whose work laid the foundation for this workbook. Your contributions made it possible to imagine healing that honors culture, embodiment, and identity.

Finally, I offer gratitude to those who supported me personally throughout this process—those who held space, encouraged rest, and reminded me of the purpose behind the work. This workbook is a testament to collective care, resilience, and the power of being seen.

Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) Tools.

Artificial intelligence (AI) tools were used in a limited and supportive capacity during the development of this doctoral project. AI-assisted tools supported brainstorming, organizational structuring, grammar and clarity revisions, formatting assistance, and refinement of academic tone. All substantive conceptualization, scholarly interpretation, theoretical integration, clinical application, and final written content were independently developed, reviewed, and approved by the author. AI tools were not used to generate original research findings, fabricate sources, conduct data analysis, or replace independent scholarly judgment.

Dedication

This work is dedicated to Black women whose bodies have been scrutinized, disciplined, and misunderstood, yet who continue to seek wholeness, intimacy, and self-trust. It is for those who learned to survive in their bodies before they were taught how to feel at home in them.

May this work serve as a reminder that your body is not a problem to be solved, but a place worthy of care, dignity, and belonging.

Sex for Every Body: Intimacy, Identity and Body Dysmorphia Among Black Women

Opening

Body image distress exists along a spectrum of symptomatology, ranging from normative dissatisfaction to clinically impairing conditions such as body dysmorphic disorder (BDD). Body dysmorphic disorder is a complex and frequently underrecognized mental disorder characterized by intrusive and persistent preoccupations with perceived physical defects that are not observable or appear minor to others. These preoccupations are associated with significant distress, shame, and avoidance behaviors (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2022). Although BDD represents the more severe end of this continuum, many individuals experience subclinical dysmorphic features, including persistent body-related distress, hypervigilance, and excessive self-monitoring that affect emotional well-being and intimate relationships without meeting full diagnostic criteria. Body-image distress and dysmorphic traits must therefore be understood within a broader sociocultural framework shaped by racialized surveillance, commodification, and historically situated regulation of the Black female body.

Body image extends beyond an individual psychological construct. It is embedded within structural relations that shape how Black women perceive, inhabit, and relate to their bodies, with implications for identity formation. These dynamics influence self-concept, vulnerability, attraction, desirability, and relational engagement. Although much of the body image literature has been conducted within Western populations, the lived experiences of Black women remain underrepresented in both empirical research and applied interventions. Existing studies prioritize Western and Eurocentric beauty norms while overlooking racialized cultural scripts and contextual factors that shape Black women's experiences of their bodies and intimacy (Awad et al., 2015). Consequently, the relational and intimate implications of body-image distress and

BDD-related features among Black women remain comparatively understudied, limiting the development of culturally responsive resources.

The purpose of this doctoral project is to develop *Sex for Every Body*, a culturally responsive, trauma-informed therapeutic workbook designed to address the intersection of body dysmorphia, identity, and intimacy. The workbook integrates psychoeducation, guided reflection, and culturally grounded, trauma-informed practices to support self-awareness and relational insight. Rather than functioning as a diagnostic or treatment intervention, the workbook operates as a structured, exploratory resource that promotes self-compassion, meaning-making, and embodied reflection. Within this project, intimacy is conceptualized as emotional, physical, and spiritual closeness. When individuals experience disconnection from their bodies, vulnerability in intimate relationships becomes constrained, increasing distress and relational avoidance. This workbook facilitates reconnection by creating a culturally affirming space for reflection on embodied experience. By centering the sociocultural and relational contexts of Black women, this project contributes to counseling and sexology by advancing culturally responsive, identity-informed approaches to body image and intimacy.

Culture and Society

The Western gaze has historically politicized, eroticized, and demonized the Black female body. Long-standing racial stereotypes, such as the portrayal of Sarah Baartman as the “Hottentot Venus,” depicted Black women as hypersexual and exotic while simultaneously devaluing their femininity (Parker, 2016). These narratives persist in contemporary media, music, and advertising, where Eurocentric beauty standards emphasizing thinness, lighter skin, and straight hair dominate mainstream ideals (Hunter, 2011). Within this psychosocial landscape, many Black women internalize contradictory messages: they are encouraged to embrace self-

love while simultaneously encountering representations that position them as either “too much” or “not enough.”

Social media intensifies these contradictions. Platforms initially framed as empowering spaces frequently function as arenas of comparison and self-surveillance, amplifying unattainable standards through filters and algorithmic reinforcement (Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2018). For women already navigating racialized beauty norms, this digital gaze compounds pressures toward conformity. What emerges resembles a “double consciousness of the body,” wherein self-perception is mediated through both personal and societal scrutiny (Hooks, 1992). Chronic surveillance diminishes self-trust and reinforces self-objectification, a process central to body image disturbance and BDD. Intersectionality provides a critical lens through which to interpret these experiences (Crenshaw, 1989). The dismissal of Black women’s embodiment obscures the cultural systems that both shape and interpret their bodies. Colorism, respectability politics, and gendered racism influence perceptions of desirability and worth. The psychological impact includes chronic self-surveillance, emotional labor, and anxiety related to social acceptability. These conditions create an environment in which body image disturbances may develop yet remain insufficiently recognized within traditional diagnostic structures. Understanding this context underscores the need for interventions that situate body dissatisfaction within lived sociocultural realities rather than framing it solely as an individual pathology.

Problem Statement and Justification

Although BDD is defined by formal diagnostic criteria, most empirical research informing those criteria has been conducted with predominantly Western, White samples, raising concerns regarding cultural applicability and diagnostic equity (Marques, 2011; Phillips, 2017).

Emerging evidence indicates that BDD may be underrecognized among racial and ethnic minority populations due to clinician bias, limited cultural competence, and misinterpretation of culturally contextualized expressions of distress (Himle et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2019). Black women who express appearance-related anxiety may have their concerns minimized, moralized, or misattributed to vanity rather than recognized as psychologically significant (Lewis et al., 2017; Sue et al., 2007). Such misrecognition contributes to reduced disclosure, delayed help-seeking, and mistrust of mental health providers (Hargons et al., 2021). Consequently, the manifestation and relational impact of BDD-associated features remain insufficiently examined.

Body-image distress extends beyond self-perception and significantly influences intimate functioning. Research links negative body image to reduced sexual desire, diminished sexual satisfaction, and heightened self-consciousness during intimacy (Cash et al., 2004; Woertman & van den Brink, 2012). When individuals experience their bodies as defective, intimacy may become a site of exposure rather than connection, intensifying shame and relational withdrawal (Dolezal, 2015; Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997).

In BDD, symptom severity is associated with impaired sexual functioning, avoidance of sexual activity, and increased appearance-related distress during intimacy (Didie et al., 2010; Veale et al., 2016). These relational sequelae remain underexplored for Black women. Cognitive-behavioral treatments for BDD address distorted cognitions and avoidance behaviors; however, they often insufficiently integrate cultural identity, historical trauma, spiritual meaning-making, and resilience factors central to Black women's lived experiences (Brown & Jenkins, 2021; Williams et al., 2019). This gap underscores the need for culturally responsive resources that bridge psychological science and sociocultural context. *Sex for Every Body* responds to this need by offering a structured workbook that supports reflective engagement with beauty narratives,

body-based experiences, and intimacy through a culturally affirming lens. The project translates clinical insight into guided reflection, embodiment practices, and affirmations that reinforce inherent value and relational agency.

Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

This project is grounded in a multidisciplinary framework integrating intersectionality, objectification theory, and attachment theory. Together, these perspectives illuminate the sociocultural, cognitive-emotional, and relational dimensions of body image distress.

Intersectionality (Crenshaw, 1989) explains how systems of oppression, including racism and sexism, interact to shape lived experience. For Black women, evaluations of the body cannot be separated from historically embedded racialized and gendered narratives.

Objectification theory (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997) explains how external surveillance becomes internalized scrutiny, contributing to self-objectification and disconnection from embodied experience. Attachment theory (Ainsworth et al., 1978; Bowlby, 1969) introduces a relational dimension, demonstrating how early experiences shape emotional regulation, vulnerability, and patterns of intimacy. Insecure attachment may intensify body shame and relational avoidance. Collectively, these frameworks conceptualize BDD-related distress as both intrapsychic and sociocultural and directly inform the workbook's structure, including reflective journaling, grounding practices, and culturally affirming exercises.

Significance: Why is the Problem Important?

This project contributes to clinical sexology and multicultural counseling by translating theory into a culturally grounded, practice-based intervention. Few existing resources address body dysmorphia through a framework that integrates race, gender, embodiment, and intimacy. The workbook combines psychoeducation, reflective writing, somatic awareness, and culturally

tailored cognitive-behavioral techniques. Exercises such as mirror dialogue, body mapping, and eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR)-informed stabilization practices support emotional regulation and embodied awareness while remaining distinct from formal trauma processing. Rather than focusing solely on symptom reduction, this project emphasizes identity integration and relational reconnection. It demonstrates how psychological theory can be operationalized into culturally responsive therapeutic tools.

Author Positionality

This project emerges from the intersection of professional practice and lived experience. As a Black woman and Licensed Mental Health Counselor working with individuals navigating attachment wounds and body-based shame, the author has observed recurring patterns of dismissal and cultural invisibility within traditional therapeutic models. Acknowledging positionality strengthens transparency while guarding against overidentification. This project maintains a balance between advocacy and scholarly analysis by situating lived experience within theoretical and empirical frameworks.

Definitions of Important Terms

To maintain conceptual clarity, key constructs guiding this project are defined as follows:

Body dysmorphic disorder (BDD): A mental health condition characterized by persistent preoccupation with perceived flaws in physical appearance, often accompanied by repetitive behaviors such as mirror checking or grooming that impair functioning (American Psychiatric Association, 2022).

Body dysmorphia: Used more broadly to describe body image dissatisfaction.

Dysmorphic distress: Appearance-related preoccupations that may fall below full DSM diagnostic thresholds but produce clinically meaningful emotional, relational, and functional impairment.

Interpersonal closeness: Emotional, physical, and relational proximity between individuals. Within this project, intimacy also includes self-intimacy, defined as the ability to be present with one's body without judgment or fear.

Self-concept: An individual's sense of identity and self-worth is shaped by personal experiences and sociocultural influences (Rogers, 1959).

Identity: The integration of social, cultural, and personal experiences into a coherent sense of self. For Black women, identity formation involves navigating multiple cultural narratives and internalized racialized experiences (Cross, 1991).

Culturally responsive practice: A counseling approach that integrates clients' cultural identities, values, and lived experiences into assessment and intervention (Sue & Sue, 2016). These definitions establish a shared conceptual language for the constructs explored throughout the workbook.

Limitations

This project focuses on self-identified Black women between the ages of 18 and 55 who experience body-image distress or mild to moderate dysmorphic features. The workbook is psychoeducational and reflective in nature and does not substitute for psychotherapy or formal diagnosis.

Summary

This section establishes the conceptual foundation for *Sex for Every Body*, positioning body image distress within sociocultural, relational, and theoretical contexts. The following section presents a comprehensive literature review.

Literature Review

Body Dysmorphic Disorder: Clinical Overview and Implications for Black Women's

Intimacy

Clinical literature on BDD often underemphasizes how dysmorphic distress is experienced within racialized and gendered sociocultural contexts. For Black women, appearance-related concerns are shaped by intersecting forces, including racialized beauty standards, hypervisibility, and the historical surveillance of the Black female body. The issue extends beyond internalization of appearance concerns to include how those concerns are interpreted, responded to, and either validated or minimized within interpersonal and clinical settings. Body image distress among Black women may be downplayed, moralized, or overlooked, particularly when it intersects with cultural narratives emphasizing strength, desirability, or respectability. In intimate contexts, chronic appearance preoccupation may interfere with emotional openness, sexual agency, and relational connectedness. Internalized appearance-based shame can contribute to avoidance of intimacy, difficulty receiving affirmation, and increased self-monitoring during intimate encounters.

Although diagnostic frameworks provide important clinical guidance, they do not fully explain how dysmorphic distress disrupts intimacy for Black women whose bodies exist within contested cultural meanings. Cognitive-behavioral models of BDD offer insight into symptom development and maintenance (Veale, 2004; Veale & Neziroglu, 2010). These models propose that selective attention and maladaptive appraisal processes contribute to heightened self-focused attention in response to internal or external triggers (e.g., mirrors, perceived bodily sensations). Individuals become preoccupied with specific aspects of their appearance while disengaging from broader bodily and relational contexts. Veale (2004) describes this process as experiencing

the “self as an aesthetic object,” wherein individuals adopt an observer’s perspective toward their own bodies rather than inhabiting them experientially.

While cognitive-behavioral models have advanced understanding of symptom maintenance, much of this research has been conducted using quantitative methods within predominantly Western samples. Emerging qualitative studies highlight lived experiences of BDD, including themes of threat perception, relational disruption, identity entanglement, and paradoxical reliance on appearance-related behaviors for reassurance and control (Oakes et al., 2016; Silver et al., 2010). Participants often describe mirrors and self-surveillance practices as simultaneously distressing and regulating, reflecting the complex emotional economy underlying dysmorphic behaviors.

Cultural Context and Relational Meaning

Qualitative literature suggests that, for Black women, dysmorphic behaviors may also function as strategies for navigating safety, visibility, and belonging within racially stratified environments. Appearance monitoring may reflect not only intrapsychic distress but also adaptive responses to external judgment and relational risk. Without addressing these cultural and relational dimensions, dominant clinical models risk framing dysmorphic distress solely as an individual pathology rather than as an embodied response to structural and interpersonal pressures. Collectively, clinical, cognitive, and qualitative literatures describe BDD and related dysmorphic features as conditions associated with significant distress, functional impairment, and relational consequences. However, the limited inclusion of Black women’s voices and sociocultural contexts constrains the applicability of these frameworks across diverse populations. This omission underscores the importance of culturally responsive, practice-based

tools that address not only symptom expression but also the relational and intimate meanings embedded in body image distress.

Diagnostic Criteria, Assessments, and Differential Diagnosis

Consistent with DSM-5-TR criteria, BDD involves intrusive appearance-related preoccupation along with repetitive behaviors or mental acts and clinically significant distress or impairment (APA, 2022). As previously noted, BDD is classified within the Obsessive–Compulsive and Related Disorders category in both DSM-5 and DSM-5-TR, reflecting phenomenological overlap with obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) while maintaining diagnostic distinction due to the narrow focus on appearance-based concerns (APA, 2013; Hong et al., 2018). Despite shared features, BDD is distinguished by obsessions and compulsions specifically centered on appearance flaws rather than generalized threat-based fears.

Diagnosis is typically established through clinical interview and is often supplemented by structured or semi-structured tools such as modules from the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 (SCID-5) as well as disorder-specific measures, including the Body Dysmorphic Disorder Examination (BDDE) (Phillips, 2017). Screening tools such as the Body Dysmorphic Disorder Questionnaire (BDDQ) and the Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire assist in identifying individuals at risk by assessing appearance-related preoccupation and associated functional impairment (Cash, 2019; International OCD Foundation, 2020).

Symptoms' severity is commonly evaluated using standardized measures such as Yale Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale Modified for Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD-YBOCS), the Psychiatric Status Rating Scale for BDD, and the BDDE. Insight and belief rigidity are often assessed using the Brown Assessment of Beliefs Scale and the Overvalued Ideas Scale, which help clinicians differentiate levels of insight and tailor treatment accordingly (International OCD

Foundation, 2020; Phillips, 2017). BDD is commonly misdiagnosed as OCD, major depressive disorder, social anxiety disorder, eating disorders, trichotillomania, excoriation disorder, or generalized anxiety disorder due to symptom overlap (Phillips, 2017). Such misclassification may postpone treatment and worsen functional impairment.

Epidemiology and Functional Impact

Epidemiological studies show that BDD affects approximately 1.7–2.9% of the general population, with comparable prevalence across genders (Buhlmann et al., 2010; Rief et al., 2006; Veale et al., 2016). Onset typically occurs during adolescence, with a mean age of approximately 16 years (Phillips, 2005). Prevalence rates are drastically higher among individuals seeking cosmetic procedures, including rhinoplasty and other aesthetic surgeries (Veale et al., 2016). BDD is associated with impaired self-esteem, social withdrawal, occupational and academic disruptions, and increased risk of depression. Individuals with BDD demonstrate significantly elevated rates of suicidality and suicide attempts compared to the general population, underscoring the severity of the disorder and the need for early recognition and sustained intervention (Phillips et al., 2013).

Management and Treatment Approaches

Evidence-based treatment for BDD typically involves a combination of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) and pharmacotherapy, most commonly selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs). Cognitive behavioral therapy for BDD focuses on restructuring maladaptive appearance-related cognitions, reducing compulsive behaviors (e.g., checking, camouflaging, reassurance seeking), and increasing engagement in valued activities. Exposure and response prevention strategies are frequently incorporated to address avoidance and ritualistic behaviors (Castle et al., 2021; Hong et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2024). Standard treatment protocols often

involve 12–22 weekly sessions, often followed by maintenance or booster sessions to reduce relapse risk (Veale et al., 2016).

SSRIs- including fluoxetine, sertraline, escitalopram, and paroxetine- have demonstrated efficacy in reducing obsessive preoccupations, depressive symptoms, and anxiety associated with BDD, either as monotherapy or in conjunction with CBT (Castle et al., 2021; Jassi & Krebs, 2023). Pharmacological treatment requires careful monitoring due to potential side effects such as gastrointestinal disturbance, insomnia, sexual dysfunction, agitation, and, in rare cases, increased suicidality or seizure risk (Hoeppner et al., 2023). Given the chronic course often associated with BDD, long-term treatment planning, psychoeducation, and collaborative care are essential. Emerging evidence supports internet-based CBT (iCBT) as a viable alternative for individuals facing barriers to traditional therapy, including stigma, cost, geographic limitations, or lack of specialized providers. Systematic reviews indicate that therapist-guided and self-guided iCBT programs can yield symptom reductions comparable to in-person treatment (Lundström et al., 2023; Rautio et al., 2023).

Implications for Black Women and Cultural Gaps in Care

Despite robust evidence supporting cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) and SSRIs as effective treatments for BDD, there is limited research examining treatment responsiveness among Black women. Standard diagnostic tools and intervention models have largely been developed and validated within Western, predominantly White populations, raising concerns about cultural relevance and diagnostic equity (Awad et al., 2015; Kashubeck-West et al., 2013). Measures of body dissatisfaction frequently prioritize weight and shape concerns while overlooking culturally salient dimensions of body image for women of color, including skin tone, hair texture, facial features, and racialized beauty norms.

Contrary to earlier assumptions that body dissatisfaction primarily affects White women, recent studies demonstrate comparable or higher levels of body image disturbance and disordered eating behaviors among women of color (Capodilupo & Forsyth, 2014; Coffino et al., 2019; Ramseyer Winter et al., 2019). However, methodological limitations and cultural mismatches in assessment tools continue to constrain understanding of how body-image distress manifests and is treated among Black women. Although BDD occurs across racial and ethnic groups, culturally insensitive screening, diagnostic bias, and limited representation contribute to under-recognition and undertreatment among Black women. These gaps highlight the need for culturally responsive, identity-affirming, and accessible resources that complement existing clinical interventions. The development of a culturally grounded workbook represents one such approach, offering a practice-based resource that supports reflective engagement with body image and intimacy while acknowledging the sociocultural contexts shaping Black women's embodied experiences. While the preceding discussion situates body-image distress within cultural and relational contexts, the following section examines how these dynamics are operationalized and often obscured within diagnostic and measurement frameworks.

Measurement, Diagnostic Bias, and the Under-recognition of Body Dysmorphic Disorder in Black Women

Although BDD is defined by standardized diagnostic criteria and supported by a growing body of empirical research, emerging evidence suggests that existing assessment frameworks may inadequately capture the lived experiences of Black women. Diagnostic tools, screening instruments, and clinical heuristics used to identify BDD have largely been developed, normed, and validated within Western, predominantly White populations. Consequently, these instruments may reflect implicit assumptions regarding appearance concerns, symptom

expression, and insight that do not fully align with the sociocultural contexts shaping body image distress among Black women (Awad et al., 2015; Kashubeck-West et al., 2013).

Body dysmorphic disorder is diagnosed based on persistent preoccupation with perceived physical flaws, engagement in repetitive behaviors or mental acts, and resulting distress or functional impairment (APA, 2022). Although these criteria are intended to be culturally neutral, their application is mediated by clinician judgment, patient disclosure, and the cultural relevance of assessment items. For Black women whose body image concerns are embedded within histories of racialized surveillance, appearance-based discrimination, and sociocultural messaging, dysmorphic distress may not present in ways that are easily distinguishable from realistic concerns about appearance-based bias. This diagnostic ambiguity contributes to under-recognition.

Limitations of Standardized Assessment Tools

Common screening instruments for BDD, including the BDDQ, the BDDE, and the BDD-YBOCS, primarily assess preoccupation, compulsive behaviors, distress, and insight. While psychometrically sound within the populations for which they were developed, these tools often emphasize weight, shape, symmetry, and generalize appearance dissatisfaction, potentially overlooking culturally salient dimensions of body image distress such as skin tone, hair texture, facial features, and racialized visibility (Awad et al., 2015). Research on body image measurement consistently demonstrates that instruments normed on White samples may fail to capture the full range of concerns relevant to women of color (Kashubeck-West et al., 2013). For Black women, appearance-related distress may be tied to colorism, hair politics, and respectability norms—constructs rarely operationalized in existing BDD measures.

Consequently, individuals may score below diagnostic thresholds despite experiencing clinically meaningful distress that interferes with intimacy, relational safety, and self-concept.

Furthermore, many assessment tools rely on assumptions about insight and belief rigidity that may not translate uniformly across cultural contexts. Dysmorphic beliefs are often evaluated based on perceived irrationality or disproportionality. However, for Black women navigating environments in which appearance-based discrimination is empirically documented, concerns about being judged, devalued, or marginalized may reflect lived experience rather than cognitive distortion. Without contextual evaluation, clinicians may struggle to differentiate culturally informed vigilance from dysmorphic preoccupation.

Diagnostic Bias and Clinical Misattribution

Beyond measurement limitations, diagnostic bias contributes to the under-recognition of BDD among Black women. Research on racial bias in clinical settings indicates that Black women's psychological distress is more likely to be minimized, misattributed, or reframed in moral or character-based terms rather than recognized as legitimate mental health concerns (Lewis & Neville, 2021a; Sue & Sue, 2016). Appearance-related distress may be dismissed as vanity, normative dissatisfaction, or low self-esteem rather than explored as a potential manifestation of dysmorphic pathology. Clinicians may also be influenced by stereotypes portraying Black women as resilient, emotionally contained, or less vulnerable to body image concerns. Such assumptions may reduce inquiry into appearance-related distress and the decreased likelihood of formal screening for BDD. When Black women disclose body-based concerns, they may be met with reassurance or normalization rather than diagnostic curiosity, reinforcing patterns of underassessment and delayed intervention.

Cultural Context, Insight, and the Problem of “Rational” Distress

Misdiagnosis further complicates the clinical landscape. BDD is frequently misdiagnosed as major depressive disorder, social anxiety disorder, or obsessive–compulsive disorder due to overlapping symptom presentations (Phillips, 2017). For Black women, this misclassification may be compounded by clinician bias and limited cultural competence, resulting in treatment plans that address secondary symptoms while overlooking the core dysmorphic distress contributing to relational avoidance and intimacy disruption.

A persistent challenge in diagnosing BDD among Black women concerns the interpretation of insight. Diagnostic frameworks often conceptualize dysmorphic beliefs as excessive or irrational. However, this framing becomes problematic when appearance-based fears are reinforced by lived realities. Black women routinely encounter commentary, evaluation, and discrimination related to skin tone, hair, and body presentation across professional, social, and intimate settings. These experiences may validate appearance-related anxieties and complicate efforts to categorize such concerns as distorted. Consequently, clinicians may hesitate to diagnose BDD when clients articulate appearance-based fears that appear socially plausible. This hesitation can obscure the severity, persistence, and functional impact of dysmorphic distress, particularly when it manifests through avoidance of intimacy, concealment of the body, or chronic self-surveillance. Without culturally informed assessment practices, the threshold for diagnosis may be inconsistently applied, disadvantaging Black women whose distress is embedded within racialized experience.

Implications for Intimacy and Treatment Access

Under-recognition and insufficient treatment of BDD among Black women carry significant implications for intimacy, relational functioning, and access to appropriate care.

When dysmorphic distress is not accurately identified, individuals may lack language or validation for their experiences, increasing shame and reluctance to seek support. Delayed or missed diagnoses limit access to evidence-based interventions and contribute to prolonged relational impairment. The absence of culturally responsive diagnostic practices may further erode trust in mental health systems. Black women who experience misunderstandings or are dismissed in clinical encounters may disengage from treatment altogether, reinforcing patterns of isolation and self-management. This pattern is particularly consequential in the context of intimacy-related concerns, which already carry stigma and vulnerability.

Implications for Culturally Responsive Intervention Development

The limitations of existing measurement and diagnostic frameworks underscore the need for complementary, culturally responsive resources that do not rely exclusively on formal diagnosis to facilitate healing and insight. Practice-based tools, including therapeutic workbooks, may offer accessible entry points for reflection and meaning-making without requiring diagnostic labeling. By validating sociocultural context and lived experience, such resources can bridge gaps left by traditional assessment models.

Black Women, Body Image, and Identity

The body image experiences of Black women cannot be fully understood without attending to the sociocultural, historical, and racialized contexts that shape how Black bodies are viewed and valued. Dominant Eurocentric beauty standards have long privileged whiteness, thinness, straight hair, and narrowly defined facial features, positioning Black women's bodies as deviations from the ideal. These standards are rooted in histories of colonialism, slavery, and systemic racism, wherein Black women's bodies were simultaneously hypersexualized, commodified, surveilled, and morally regulated (Collins, 2000; Hooks, 1992). Within this

context, body image concerns among Black women are not merely individual experiences of dissatisfaction, but expressions of broader structural and cultural forces influencing embodiment, visibility, and perceived worth.

Body image has been conceptualized in varied ways within the literature. Early research, heavily influenced by Western norms, emphasized physical appearance as the central determinant of female body satisfaction. Studies such as Parker et al. (1995) illustrate how dominant ideals, often described as tall, thin, White, and effortlessly attractive, are socially reproduced and internalized, reinforcing what has been termed the “thinness model” of body image (Botta, 2000; Thompson et al., 1999). While influential, this framework inadequately captures the lived realities of women whose bodies are evaluated through both racialized and gendered lenses.

Subsequent literature has expanded body image beyond physical appearance, conceptualizing it as a multidimensional psychological experience shaped by attitudes, beliefs, emotions, and embodiment (Armer, 2017; Cash & Pruzinsky, 1990). From this perspective, body image is co-constructed through interpersonal relationships, community norms, and sociocultural messaging rather than formed in isolation (Pai & Schryver, 2015). Thompson et al. (1999) similarly emphasize that body image must be understood at the intersection of gender, ethnicity, and sociocultural influence, an assertion particularly salient to Black women.

Research demonstrates that body image among Black women differs in important ways from dominant Western narratives. Although Black women are often described as less invested in thinness ideals than White women, this does not equate to immunity from body dissatisfaction. Rather, Black women may negotiate competing ideals that emphasize cultural pride while simultaneously confronting pressures to conform to Eurocentric standards (Botta, 2000; Gordon,

2008). These patterns vary across age, region, socioeconomic context, and mainstream exposure versus culturally affirming mainstream media, underscoring the importance of contextual interpretation.

Body image concerns among Black women frequently center on features that are underrepresented in traditional assessment tools, including skin tone, hair texture, facial features, and overall presentation (Hall, 1995; Neal & Wilson, 1989; Okazawa-Rey et al., 1987). Colorism and texturism, systems that privilege lighter skin and straighter hair, further shape beauty ideals within Black communities (Awad et al., 2015). These dynamics are operationalized in measures normed on White populations, contributing to conceptual and methodological gaps in understanding how body dissatisfaction manifests among Black women and influences relational functioning.

Black feminist theory provides a critical lens for interpreting these dynamics. Rooted in the lived experiences of Black women, this framework emphasizes the intersecting effects of race, gender, and class on identity and embodiment (Bennett, 2016; Walker, 2021). From this perspective, Black women may simultaneously recognize dominant beauty and their inaccessibility. Research indicates that many Black women define beauty and womanhood less through physical attributes and more through qualities such as confidence, authenticity, intelligence, and relational warmth (Neff et al., 1997). Yet awareness of alternative ideals does not eliminate societal pressures that reward proximity to Eurocentric norms.

Ethnic identity has been identified as a protective factor in this process. Strong identification with one's cultural community is associated with greater body acceptance and reduced susceptibility to dominant beauty norms (Baugh et al., 2010; Capodilupo, 2015). Conversely, limited cultural affirmation may heighten vulnerability to negative self-evaluations

(Hicks, 2018). Contemporary qualitative research also documents strategic appearance modification among Black women navigating White-dominated spaces, sometimes at the expense of authenticity and psychological well-being (Boutté et al., 2025). Collectively, this literature conceptualizes body image in Black women as an identity-linked, context-dependent process shaped by racialized beauty hierarchies, community meaning, and relational negotiation. This framing provides essential context for examining how these embodied experiences influence intimacy across emotional, physical, and sexual domains.

Media Exposure, Social Comparison, and Digital Embodiment in Body Dysmorphic Distress Among Black Women

Media exposure and appearance-based social comparison significantly influence the development and maintenance of body image disturbance, including dysmorphic symptoms. Traditional research demonstrates that repeated exposure to idealized representations of beauty, emphasizing thinness, symmetry, youth, and Eurocentric features, contributes to internalization of appearance ideals, body dissatisfaction, and maladaptive comparison processes (Thompson et al., 1999; Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2015). However, for Black women, media influence operates through additional racialized and gendered dynamics that are insufficiently captured in mainstream models.

Social comparison theory posits that individuals evaluate themselves by comparing their appearance or status to others, particularly in the absence of objective standards (Festinger, 1954). Upward appearance-based comparison has consistently been associated with increased body dissatisfaction and self-surveillance (Fardouly et al., 2015; Holland & Tiggemann, 2016). For Black women, these processes are shaped not only by gender norms but by racialized

hierarchies that position whiteness as the default standard of desirability (Collins, 2000; Hooks, 1992).

Digital platforms intensify these dynamics by creating continuous opportunities for curated and algorithmically amplified comparison. Social media transforms aesthetic conformity into social currency (Perloff, 2014; Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2018). For individuals vulnerable to body dysmorphic distress, these environments may amplify checking behaviors, preoccupation, and compulsive comparison (Fardouly & Vartanian, 2016; Holland & Tiggemann, 2016). For Black women, digital spaces present both affirmation and risk. Movement promoting natural hair and cultural pride coexist with colorism, texturism, and monetized body hierarchies (Chae, 2017; Robinson et al., 2020). Filters and image-editing technologies that lighten skin or narrow facial features subtly reinforce Eurocentric standards (McLean et al., 2015; Perkins, 2019).

These media-driven processes intersect with lived experiences of racialized appearance evaluation. When external feedback mirrors internal distress, appearance concerns may feel validated rather than distorted, complicating clinical interpretation (Lewis & Neville, 2021b; Nadal, 2011; Sue & Sue, 2016). When external feedback mirrors internal distress, body image concerns may feel justified rather than distorted, complicating clinical recognition of BDD features. Media-driven comparison mirrors core BDD maintenance mechanisms, including selective attention and reassurance cycles (Veale & Neziroglu, 2010).

Relational consequences are significant. Internalizing appearance ideals and chronic comparison are associated with intimacy avoidance, vulnerability, reduced sexual confidence, and fear of negative evaluations (Calogero & Tylka, 2020; Pujols et al., 2020; Quinn-Nilas et al., 2016). Social media also shapes expectations of desirability and performance within romantic relationships, reinforcing pressure to look a certain way to be worthy of attention or affection

(Calogero & Tylka, 2020). Despite these findings, research rarely examines outcomes at the intersection of race and gender, leaving pathways linking media, dysmorphic distress, and intimacy among Black women under-theorized. Therapeutic approaches addressing dysmorphic distress in this population must therefore integrate critical media literacy, contextual validation, and embodied awareness alongside cognitive restructuring (Cash, 2019; Tylka & Wood-Barcalow, 2015). Reflective exercises and intentional disengagement from harmful comparison practices may help mitigate the psychological impact of digital embodiment while fostering reconnection to the body as a site of agency rather than surveillance.

Theoretical Framework

The intersection of BDD, Black women's identities, and intimacy is examined through an integrated theoretical framework drawing on objectification theory, intersectionality theory grounded in Black feminist thought, and attachment theory. Together, these frameworks provide a multidimensional lens for understanding how sociocultural forces, racialized embodiment, psychological processes, and relational dynamics converge to shape body image distress and intimate functioning among Black women. Rather than functioning as isolated perspectives, the theories are used in complementary ways: Objectification theory explains how appearance-based surveillance and shame are internalized. Intersectionality and Black Feminist Thought situate these processes within racialized and gendered systems of power. Attachment Theory clarifies how internalized distress is enacted through relational patterns of closeness, avoidance, and vulnerability. In combination, this framework supports an interpretive approach that conceptualizes BDD as simultaneously psychopathological, relational, and culturally situated.

Objectification Theory

Objectification theory (Calogero & Tylka, 2020; Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997) posits that women in Western societies are socialized to internalize an observer's perspective of their bodies. This internalized gaze produces chronic self-monitoring, body shame, and appearance anxiety, which in turn disrupt emotional regulation, sexual functioning, and embodied well-being. Over time, individuals may experience their bodies less as lived, subjective entities and more as objects to be evaluated and managed. Within BDD, these processes are intensified. Individuals with BDD exhibit extreme forms of self-objectification, including persistent appearance monitoring, compulsive checking and comparison, and heightened shame focused on perceived physical flaws. From this perspective, BDD represents an escalation of normative appearance surveillance into rigid, distressing, and impairing patterns.

Objectification theory is especially relevant to intimacy because self-surveillance interferes with presence, vulnerability, and embodied connection. During physical or sexual intimacy, individuals may remain cognitively preoccupied with how they appear rather than attuned to sensation or relational engagement. This phenomenon, often described as *spectatoring*, has been associated with reduced sexual satisfaction and decreased intimacy (Quinn-Nilas et al., 2016). For Black women, objectification is both gendered and racialized. Gendered racial sexual objectification, historical hypersexualization, and contemporary appearance-based microaggressions intensify vigilance around skin tone, hair texture, facial features, and body contours (Stanton et al., 2022). These dynamics increase vulnerability, shame, withdrawal, and relational disruption associated with dysmorphic distress.

Intersectionality and Black Feminist Thought

Intersectionality (Crenshaw, 1989), informed by Black Feminist Thought (Collins, 2000; Hooks, 1992), asserts that Black women's experiences cannot be understood through single-axis frameworks of race, gender, or class. Instead, these identities intersect to produce distinct forms of structural oppression and lived embodiment. Within this framework, body image concerns among Black women are conceptualized not as individual insecurities but as responses to racialized systems of control, exclusion, and aesthetic hierarchy. Black Feminist scholars document how Black women's bodies have been historically commodified, surveilled, erased, and policed through Eurocentric beauty standards, colorism, texturism, and respectability politics.

Intersectionality is critical for understanding how BDD manifests in Black women. Dysmorphic preoccupations may focus on features carrying racialized meaning (skin tone, nose shape, or hair texture) and may be intensified by pressures to counter stereotypes or represent Black womanhood "appropriately." Within this context, the shame associated with dysmorphic distress is not solely intrapersonal but socially mediated. This framework also clarifies why intimacy may be especially complex for Black women. Fear of fetishization, anxiety about confirming stereotypes, expectations of emotional strength, and experiences of hypervisibility or invisibility shape relational safety, vulnerability, and desire. Intersectionality, therefore, situates intimacy as a space where power, identity, and embodiment converge.

Attachment Theory

Attachment theory (Bowlby, 1969; Hazan & Shaver, 1987) offers a relational lens for understanding how body image distress may influence expectations of closeness, responsiveness, and safety within intimate relationships. Attachment patterns shape emotional regulation and

interpersonal vulnerability, which in turn influence relational and sexual functioning. Attachment insecurity has been associated with lower body satisfaction, increased appearance monitoring, fear of rejection, and heightened sensitivity to external evaluation. Within BDD, these patterns may manifest as reassurance seeking, compulsive checking, and relational ambivalence. Anxious attachment may intensify fear of abandonment linked to perceived imperfections, whereas avoidant attachment may appear as emotional distancing or avoidance of intimacy to reduce perceived exposure.

For Black women, attachment patterns may be shaped not only by early caregiving experiences but also by intergenerational trauma, race-based stress, chronic discrimination, and cultural norms surrounding emotional expression. In BDD-related distress, these factors may contribute to a tension between longing for connection and fear of being seen, resulting in hypervigilance, withdrawal, or relational avoidance. Attachment theory complements objectification and intersectionality by clarifying how socioculturally produced body shame is enacted within relational dynamics.

Integrated Framework and Application

Together, these theories offer a cohesive explanatory model for understanding body image distress and intimacy among Black women:

- Objectification theory: Explains the internalized gaze, body surveillance, and shame central to dysmorphic distress.
- Intersectionality and Black feminist thought: Situate these experiences within racialized, gendered, and historical systems of power.
- Attachment theory: Clarifies how these internalized struggles shape relational patterns of intimacy, vulnerability, and avoidance.

This integrated framework informs the development of *Sex for Every Body* by emphasizing that effective intervention must consider not only symptoms, but also the sociocultural and relational contexts in which distress is experienced. By integrating embodiment, identity, and attachment, the workbook is designed to support Black women in cultivating intimacy rooted in cultural validation, relational safety, and embodied self-trust.

Mental Health, Trauma, and Embodied Intimacy

Mental health plays a foundational role in shaping intimacy across emotional, physical, and sexual domains. Relational functioning requires self-awareness, emotional regulation, trust, presence, and the capacity for vulnerability; capabilities that may be disrupted by anxiety, depression, trauma, and related symptoms. A substantial body of research links psychological distress to diminished sexual satisfaction, reduced emotional closeness, and lower relationship stability (Lee et al., 2023; Ménard & Offman, 2022). For Black women, the relationship between mental health and intimacy is further shaped by intersecting systems of racism, sexism, cultural expectations, and historical trauma. These overlapping stressors influence emotional well-being and shape how Black women navigate closeness, desire, vulnerability, and trust in intimate partnerships (Lewis & Neville, 2021a; Thomas et al., 2019). Understanding mental health in this context, therefore, requires attention not only to individual symptomatology but also to the sociocultural conditions in which intimacy occurs.

Mental Health and Emotional Intimacy

Emotional intimacy relies on the ability to share one's internal world in conditions of safety and acceptance. Depression, anxiety, and trauma-related symptoms may reduce emotional availability, increase withdrawal, and disrupt communication. Depression frequently involves emotional fatigue, anhedonia, irritability, and decreased emotional responsiveness; symptoms

associated with reduced emotional intimacy and increased relational conflict (Ménard & Offman, 2022). Among Black women, emotional intimacy is further complicated by culturally embedded expectations of strength, self-reliance, and emotional containment. The “Strong Black Woman” schema may discourage vulnerability and reinforce beliefs that emotional needs should be managed independently (Lewis & Neville, 2021a). Although adaptive in context, this pattern can inhibit emotional disclosure and reduce reliance on partners, undermining reciprocal intimacy. Anxiety may intensify these dynamics through hypervigilance, fear of judgment, or concern about confirming stereotypes, which may manifest as strategic emotional distancing (Thomas et al., 2019).

Mental Health and Physical Intimacy

Physical intimacy, including touch, closeness, and bodily comfort, is also sensitive to mental health functioning. Depression and anxiety may involve somatic symptoms such as fatigue, muscle tension, restlessness, and bodily discomfort, which can reduce tolerance for touch or sustained physical closeness. Trauma-related hyperarousal can further complicate physical intimacy by rendering touch, even when desired, physiologically distressing or threatening. For trauma survivors, the body may remain oriented toward perceived danger, contributing to avoidance of physical closeness or difficulty receiving comfort through intimate contact (Calogero & Tylka, 2020). Because Black women experience disproportionate exposure to racialized stress, community trauma, and interpersonal violence, they may be particularly vulnerable to these embodied disruptions. In such cases, physical distance may increase even if the emotional connection is intact.

Mental Health and Sexual Intimacy

The association between mental health and sexual functioning is among the most consistently documented in the literature. Depression is strongly associated with reduced sexual desire, impaired arousal, diminished pleasure, and disengagement from sexual activity (Pujols et al., 2020). Anxiety contributes to sexual performance concerns and spectating, a process in which individuals monitor their bodies from an external perspective during sexual encounters, disrupting presence and pleasure (Quinn-Nilas et al., 2016).

Trauma is a strong predictor of sexual avoidance, dissociation, and sexual dysfunction. Sexual activity may trigger intrusive memories or physiological trauma responses, making sexual intimacy emotionally complex or distressing (Calogero & Tylka, 2020). For Black women, these challenges intersect with racialized sexual scripts that shape how sexuality is expressed and constrained. Stereotypes portraying Black women as hypersexual or morally suspect may contribute to sexual inhibition, avoidance, or performance-based sexual behavior, resulting in intimacy being used to manage perception rather than foster connection (Thomas et al., 2019).

Relational Outcomes: Communication, Conflict, and Satisfaction

Mental health challenges also influence broader relational processes, including communication, conflict resolution, and relationship satisfaction. Depression and anxiety may impair emotional clarity and expressive capacity, increasing miscommunication and relational strain. When withdrawal or dysregulation is misinterpreted as disinterest or rejection, conflict may escalate, and relational safety may erode (Ménard & Offman, 2022). Cultural expectations emphasizing restraint and self-sacrifice may further complicate communication among Black women. Prioritizing relational harmony may contribute to unmet needs, reduced disclosure, and

patterns of self-silencing that deepen emotional distance (Lewis & Neville, 2021b). Chronic stressors, including economic pressure, caregiving pressures and demands, and systemic racism, may also exacerbate mental health symptoms and diminished relational satisfaction over time. Self-esteem and self-concept are important mediators in these processes. Low self-esteem is associated with fear of abandonment, hesitancy to engage in intimacy, reliance on external validation, and tolerance of unhealthy relationship dynamics. For Black women, self-esteem is often shaped by racialized beauty norms, internalized oppression, and gendered expectations of being both strong and desirable (Byrd & Carter, 2020).

Protective Factors and Relational Resilience

Despite these challenges, social support and culturally affirming environments function as critical protective factors for both mental health and intimacy. Family support, community, and culturally centered networks are associated with improved self-esteem, fewer depressive symptoms, and higher relational satisfaction in Black women (Capodilupo, 2015; Wood et al., 2010). Racial socialization may buffer internalized stigma and support healthier relational functioning. However, expectations that Black women remain strong, self-sacrificing, or emotionally self-sufficient can also restrict access to support, particularly during periods of need. This tension may contribute to emotional isolation and untreated mental health concerns, undermining emotional connection and intimacy.

Mental health shapes all aspects of intimacy, emotional, physical, and sexual, by influencing vulnerability, embodiment, communication, and relational safety. For Black women, these processes occur within sociocultural contexts shaped by racialized expectations, historical trauma, and gendered oppression. When structural pressures intersect with psychological distress, they can severely limit relational closeness and sexual agency. Understanding how

mental health and trauma shape emotional regulation, bodily safety, and relational vulnerability provides a critical foundation for examining how body image concerns, and later, clinically impairing dysmorphic distress, disrupt intimacy. Given the high comorbidity between BDD and depression, anxiety, and trauma-related symptoms, these pathways may compound dysmorphic distress and intensify intimacy impairment.

Intimacy and Body Image Among Black Women

Building on the preceding discussion of mental health and the emotional, physical, and sexual dimensions of intimacy, research consistently demonstrates that women's experiences of intimacy are closely intertwined with how they perceive, inhabit, and relate to their bodies. Body image, understood as a constellation of beliefs, emotions, and embodied experiences related to appearance and desirability, plays a central role in shaping vulnerability, closeness, and relational engagement within intimate partnerships (Pujols et al., 2020; Quinn-Nilas et al., 2016; Sandoval et al., 2021). As discussed in the section on Black Women, body image, and identity, culturally salient domains for Black women extend beyond weight and shape to include skin tone, hair politics, facial features, and respectability-based presentation. These domains shape intimacy through visibility management, perceived relational risk, and heightened bodily self-consciousness.

For Black women, body image intersects with intimacy through racialized and gendered experiences that shape how they are seen, desired, and valued as embodied individuals. Sociocultural stereotypes have historically constructed Black women's bodies as hypersexual, unfeminine, or morally suspect, producing tension between visibility and safety (Collins, 2000). These narratives are not only external; they may also become internalized as schemas involving

worth, desirability, and vulnerability. In turn, these schemas can influence emotional openness, comfort with touch, and sexual self-presentation within intimate relationships.

Body Image, Emotional Intimacy, and Vulnerability

Emotional intimacy relies on openness, trust, and the willingness to be seen beyond surface qualities. Women who experience body dissatisfaction often report greater fear of rejection, heightened self-consciousness, and increased emotional withdrawal in relational contexts, all of which interfere with authentic emotional connection (Pujols et al., 2020). These patterns may also inhibit self-disclosure by reinforcing beliefs that one's body, and by extension, the self, is undeserving of acceptance. For Black women, emotional vulnerability may be further complicated by racialized gender norms such as the "Strong Black Woman" schemas, which valorize emotional containment and self-reliance while discouraging softness or dependency (Lewis & Neville, 2021b). In intimate relationships, this socialization may manifest as difficulty expressing needs, hesitancy to seek support, or fear of disappointing others. When body image anxiety intersects with these pressures, emotional intimacy may require sustained negotiation between self-protection and relational closeness.

Physical Intimacy and Embodied Safety

Physical intimacy, including touch, closeness, and bodily comfort, depends on a sense of felt safety within one's body and in connection with another person. Negative body image has been associated with discomfort with touch, heightened bodily self-consciousness, and avoidance of physical closeness (Sandoval et al., 2021). Among Black women, physical intimacy may be shaped by culturally specific concerns, including hair, skin tone, body size, and respectability norms, which may create additional barriers to embodied comfort. Although some cultural narratives within Black communities may affirm fuller body types, Black women may still

navigate competing pressures to resist and conform to dominant beauty ideals. This internal tension can destabilize bodily trust and contribute to ambivalence about physical proximity, particularly in contexts where bodies are frequently scrutinized, compared, or evaluated in ways that affect perceptions of desirability and safety (Boutté et al., 2025).

Sexual Intimacy, Body Image, and Sexual Agency

Sexual intimacy is among the domains most directly affected by body image. Negative body image has been consistently linked to decreased sexual satisfaction, inhibited desire, reduced arousal, and difficulty communicating sexual needs (Quinn-Nilas et al., 2016). Women who engage in appearance-based self-monitoring during sexual activity often struggle to remain present, a process commonly described as spectatoring. Objectification theory provides a useful framework for understanding this phenomenon, positing that internalization of the observer's gaze fosters chronic body surveillance that undermines sexual agency and pleasure (Calogero & Tylka, 2020).

For Black women, research findings suggest that racialized objectification is associated with heightened body surveillance, depressive symptoms, and reduced sexual confidence (Stanton et al., 2022). Racialized sexual stereotypes may also shape decision-making. Some Black women may suppress sexual expression to avoid being labeled hypersexual, whereas others may express pressure to perform desirability in ways that privilege external validation over authentic connection (Thomas et al., 2019). These dynamics can constrain sexual autonomy and make advocating for needs and boundaries more difficult, including within safer-sex negotiations and sexual health contexts (Hackett & Wingood, 2022).

Intersectionality, Self-Silencing, and Relational Avoidance

Intersectionality is crucial for understanding how race, gender, class, and body politics intersect within intimate relationships. Exposure to gendered racial microaggressions has been associated with increased relational conflict, emotional distancing, and reduced relationship satisfaction among Black women (Lewis & Neville, 2021b). Appearance-based microaggressions, including comments about hair, skin color, or body type, may be internalized as threats to femininity and desirability, increasing shame and withdrawal. Self-silencing may emerge as a relational strategy in response to these pressures. Black women who suppress emotional or physical needs to preserve relational stability may experience diminished intimacy and emotional disconnection. Body image concerns can intensify this pattern, particularly when fear of judgment or misunderstanding leads to avoidance of closeness (Félix & Williams, 2023; Stanton et al., 2022).

Digital Contexts and Contemporary Intimacy

Consistent with pathways reviewed in the Media exposure section, digital media can amplify appearance-based comparison and performance-oriented desirability. Although social media platforms offer spaces for affirmation and cultural pride, they also amplify surveillance and comparison through curated imagery, beauty filters, and monetized aesthetics that may privilege Eurocentric features (Robinson et al., 2020). Frequent online comparison has been linked to lower self-esteem and increased sexual intimacy anxiety, particularly in relation to perceived desirability and worthiness.

Protective Factors and Relational Resilience

Protective factors are associated with more positive body image and intimacy among Black women. Strong ethnic identity, cultural pride, and affirming community

connections have been shown to buffer against negative body image and promote relational confidence (Baugh et al., 2010; Capodilupo, 2015). Supportive family systems and culturally affirming messages reinforce embodiment as a source of strength, pleasure, and connection rather than critique (Evans & McConnell, 2010). Within an intimate partnership, culturally grounded affirmation may facilitate vulnerability, trust, and sexual agency, counteracting the isolating effects of body-based shame. Collectively, the literature indicates that body image and intimacy among Black women are shaped by sociocultural, relational, and structural dynamics. While general models of body image and intimacy are informative, they often underemphasize racialized visibility, gendered racial objectification, and culturally specific domains of embodiment that mediate Black women's experience. This context is essential for understanding why clinically impairing forms of appearance-related distress, such as BDD, may produce particularly disruptive patterns of avoidance, surveillance, and diminished sexual agency.

At the same time, this section does not fully capture what occurs when appearance-related distress becomes clinically entrenched and behaviorally reinforced, as in BDD. In BDD, appearance preoccupations may intensify into obsessions accompanied by compulsive checking, reassurance seeking, concealment, and avoidance. These processes reliably unfold within intimate relationships. Consequently, impairments often emerge dyadically: partners may respond through reassurance, accommodation, or misunderstanding, inadvertently reinforcing avoidance or escalating conflict, while individuals with BDD experience closeness as heightened exposure, intensifying shame, body surveillance, and spectating. These mechanisms are further amplified for Black women; these mechanisms are further amplified by racialized beauty hierarchies, gendered racial objectification, and stereotype management, which shape both the

content of appearance concerns and the relational meaning of being seen. The following section, therefore, synthesizes dyadic impacts and mechanistic pathways through which BDD disrupts intimacy, establishing the basis for later discussion regarding the limitations of existing BDD interventions, particularly their symptom-focused orientation and limited attention to culturally situated outcomes.

Body Dysmorphic Disorder and Intimacy: Dyadic Impact and Mechanisms

Body dysmorphic disorder disrupts intimacy across emotional, physical, and sexual domains. Although BDD is conceptualized as an intrapersonal disorder characterized by obsessive appearance-related thoughts and compulsive behaviors, its most consequential impairments frequently emerge in relational contexts. Intimacy requires visibility, vulnerability, and embodied presence; conditions fundamentally compromised by shame, body surveillance, and fear of exposure. This section integrates literature on dyadic and partner impact, mechanisms of intimacy disruption, and cultural amplification for Black women, offering a unified framework for understanding how BDD shapes intimate functioning. Conceptually, BDD-related intimacy impairment can be understood as an interaction between dyadic regulation processes (e.g., partner responses, reassurance cycles) and embodied disruption mechanisms (e.g., shame, spectating, avoidance), amplified by racialized embodiment for Black women.

Dyadic and Partner Impact of Body Dysmorphic Disorder

Body dysmorphic disorder unfolds within relational systems that include intimate partners who interpret, respond to, and adapt to the expression. One of the consistently documented relational consequences is avoidance of closeness across emotional, physical, and sexual domains. Individuals with BDD may withdraw not due to lack of desire for connection but due to fear of negative evaluation and anticipated exposure of perceived flaws (Veale et al.,

2016). Partner accommodation, such as participating in checking rituals, avoiding triggers, or offering repeated reassurance, may unintentionally reinforce symptom maintenance, even when offered as support.

Over time, accommodation can increase partner burden and relational resentment while strengthening avoidance-based coping. Relational strain often emerges when partners misinterpret avoidance as rejection or disinterest. Reduced sexual initiation, reluctance to be seen unclothed, and increased distancing may be experienced by partners as diminished attraction or connection rather than manifestation of dysmorphic distress (Phillips, 2009). Over time, these misunderstandings erode emotional safety and relational satisfaction. Reassurance-seeking represents another dyadic stressor in BDD.

Individuals with BDD may repeatedly seek validation regarding their appearance, while partners may experience frustration or emotional fatigue when reassurance does not reduce distress. These cycles can strain attachment bonds, increase conflict, and heighten relational insecurity. Shame and secrecy further undermine relational cohesion. Individuals with BDD may conceal the extent of their distress from partners due to fear of appearing superficial, irrational, or burdensome (Veale et al., 2016). For Black women, disclosure may be further inhibited by cultural expectations to remain strong, composed, or emotionally self-sufficient, allowing relational strain to accumulate while symptoms remain hidden (Lewis & Neville, 2021a).

Mechanisms of Intimacy Disruption in Body Dysmorphic Disorder

Beyond dyadic processes, BDD disrupts intimacy through specific intrapersonal and embodied mechanisms that operate during moments of closeness. Central among these is shame, an affective state that promotes concealment and withdrawal rather than connection. Individuals with BDD may experience intimacy as anticipated exposure, where being seen emotionally,

physically, or sexually feels threatening rather than affirming. Body dysmorphic disorder also fosters pervasive body surveillance, in which individuals monitor their appearance from an imagined observer's perspective rather than inhabiting their bodies subjectively. This internalized gaze disrupts embodied engagement, particularly during physical and sexual intimacy. Touch and closeness may draw attention to perceived flaws, eliciting anxiety rather than comfort (Phillips, 2009).

Sexual intimacy is often the most impaired domain. Individuals with BDD commonly engage in spectating, characterized by monitoring one's appearance during sexual activity instead of attending to sensation or emotional connection. This mechanism has been linked to reduced arousal, diminished pleasure, and lower sexual satisfaction (Quinn-Nilas et al., 2016). Fear of bodily exposure, such as being seen unclothed or from unfamiliar angles, often contributes to sexual avoidance. Although avoidance may temporarily reduce distress, it reinforces beliefs of unworthiness and increases long-term relational strain. Body dysmorphic disorder has also been associated with diminished sexual agency, including difficulty initiating sex, expressing desire, asserting boundaries, or negotiating safer sex practices (Hackett & Wingood, 2022). Together, shame, surveillance, avoidance, and reduced agency operate in real time during intimacy, transforming closeness into a site of threat rather than connection.

Cultural Amplification of BDD-Related Intimacy Disruption for Black Women

For Black women, the dyadic and mechanistic impacts of BDD may be amplified by racialized embodiment and sociocultural histories of surveillance, objectification, and stereotype management. Gendered racial sexual objectification exposes Black women to disproportionate levels of body monitoring, hypervisibility, and appearance-based microaggressions (Stanton et al., 2022). When BDD is present, this external scrutiny becomes internalized and intensified,

compounding shame and vigilance. Racialized sexual stereotypes further complicate sexual intimacy. Fear of being hypersexualized, fetishized, or evaluated through racist tropes may inhibit sexual expression or foster intimacy oriented toward performance rather than connection (Thomas et al., 2019). Conversely, pressure to counter stereotypes through restraint or emotional containment may suppress desire and vulnerability.

Physical intimacy may also be shaped by cultural experiences related to hair politics, colorism, and historical exploitation of Black women's bodies. Concerns about natural hair, skin tone, or body shape may render touch emotionally unsafe, even within committed relationships. Importantly, partners' lack of awareness of racialized beauty dynamics may misinterpret or inadvertently invalidate the distress underlying BDD symptoms, further exacerbating relational strain. Without these culturally affirming relational environments, shame and avoidance may deepen rather than resolve.

Implications for Intimacy-Centered and Culturally Responsive Intervention

The literature indicates that BDD disrupts intimacy through dyadic processes and embodied mechanisms, that these disruptions may be intensified for Black women by intersecting systems of race, gender, and cultural expectation that shape desirability, and relational safety. Existing BDD interventions primarily target individual symptom reduction and often underemphasize dyadic functioning, sexual intimacy, and sociocultural context. This gap demonstrates the need for relationally informed, intimacy-centered, culturally responsive strategies that address dysmorphic symptoms alongside the lived realities of Black women's intimate lives.

The present project responds by developing a therapeutic workbook that integrates psychoeducation, embodied reflection, and culturally grounded intimacy work. Centered

on relational safety, sexual agency, and embodied self-trust, *Sex for Every Body* is designed to support Black women navigating body dysmorphic distress within intimate partnerships in ways that strengthen identity and promote reconnection. The dyadic and mechanistic disruptions of BDD, particularly shame, surveillance, avoidance, and relational misattunement, highlight the importance of interventions that extend beyond symptom reduction to address intimacy, embodiment, and cultural context.

Limitations of Existing BDD Interventions for Black Women

Although CBT and SSRIs are well-established first-line treatments for BDD, the literature reveals cultural limitations that are particularly relevant for Black women. Many evidence-based interventions have been developed, tested, and validated primarily in White, Western populations, with limited attention to racialized embodiment, culturally specific beauty standards, or the sociopolitical contexts shaping body image for women of color. Consequently, the applicability and effectiveness of these interventions for Black women remain insufficiently examined. Standard CBT protocols for BDD focus on restructuring maladaptive appearance-related cognitions, reducing compulsive behaviors (e.g., mirror checking, camouflaging, reassurance seeking), and using exposure and response prevention (ERP) to reduce avoidance (Castle et al., 2021; Veale et al., 2016). While effective for many individuals, these protocols may underattend culturally salient domains for Black women (e.g., skin tone, hair, racialized visibility). When therapeutic targets do not reflect lived experience, intervention relevance and engagement may be reduced.

Exposure-based interventions further illustrate this cultural mismatch. ERP often includes graded exposure to mirrors, public spaces, or social situations involving visible appearance. However, such exercises rarely account for the racialized nature of visibility itself. For Black

women, being seen may evoke historical and contemporary experiences of scrutiny, objectification, or discrimination. When these dynamics are not understood, exposure tasks may be experienced in practice as less therapeutic and more threatening or retraumatizing. This gap indicates the need for culturally responsive adaptations that differentiate dysmorphic distortion from realities of racial bias.

Cognitive restructuring methods in BDD treatment often target beliefs such as “others will judge me” or “my appearance makes me unlovable.” For Black women, there are reported cases of racial discrimination and racialized hierarchies of beauty. Therapeutic approaches that indiscriminately frame these beliefs as inaccurate may inadvertently delegitimize real social conditions, compromising alliance and reducing engagement. This limitation highlights the importance of integrating sociocultural context into cognitive approaches, rather than reducing appearance-related behaviors in isolation. Pharmacological treatment also raises concerns regarding cultural relevance and access. Although SSRIs can reduce obsessive–compulsive symptomatology and comorbid depression or anxiety, medication does not address identity, embodiment, and relational dimensions of BDD that may be especially salient for Black women. Inequities in access to psychiatric care, medical mistrust rooted in historical racism, and stigma surrounding mental health treatment may further limit the effectiveness and uptake of pharmacological approaches.

A related limitation is the lack of emphasis on intimacy outcomes. Many BDD studies prioritize symptom severity and general functional impairment while giving limited attention to relational domains such as emotional intimacy, physical comfort, sexual satisfaction, vulnerability, and sexual agency. This omission is particularly consequential for Black women, whose intimate experiences are shaped by intersecting systems of race, gender, and body politics.

Without explicit attention to intimacy, interventions may overlook a central domain of impairment related to BDD.

Finally, few intervention studies disaggregate findings by race or gender, and even fewer center Black women's experiences. This absence reflects broader patterns of clinical research invisibility and constrains the development of culturally responsive adaptations. Emerging literature supports the value of identity-, embodiment-, and relationally oriented interventions, particularly for populations whose body image distress is intertwined with systemic oppression (Capodilupo, 2015; Lewis & Neville, 2021a).

Taken together, these limitations indicate a significant gap in the literature: the need for culturally responsive, identity-affirming, intimacy-informed resources for Black women. Addressing this gap requires approaches that integrate psychoeducation, reflective practice, relational exercises, trauma-informed care, and contextual validation alongside evidence-based symptom-focused strategies. The present project addresses this need by providing a structured workbook designed to complement existing clinical care while centering Black women's embodied experiences, cultural identity, and intimate lives. In doing so, it advances culturally attuned, intimacy-centered resources that attend to relational and embodied dimensions of dysmorphic distress and support outcomes such as sexual agency, comfort with visibility, and relational safety, alongside symptom reduction.

Methodology

Despite increasing attention to body image concerns, the intersection of body dysmorphic distress and intimacy remains underexplored, particularly among Black women. Existing theoretical and clinical frameworks often fail to account for culturally specific experiences, including the impact of racialized beauty standards and historical objectification. As a result, there is a lack of culturally responsive interventions that address both body image distress and its implications for relational and sexual functioning, limiting effective support for this population.

This section outlines the conceptualization, design, development, and refinement of *Sex for Every Body*, a therapeutic workbook intended to support Black women experiencing body image distress and intimacy-related concerns consistent with mild to moderate dysmorphic features. The methodology reflects a structured, practice-based approach to developing a clinical and psychoeducational resource grounded in research, cultural responsiveness, and expert consultation. The project integrates interdisciplinary literature, culturally responsive frameworks, and professional feedback through a multi-stage product development process. Emphasis is placed on conceptual coherence, cultural relevance, and clinical usability rather than empirical outcome testing.

Project Design

The project employed a practice-based, multi-phase product development design informed by evidence-based practice, cultural responsiveness, and trauma-informed intervention principles. The objective was to translate existing literature on Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD), body image, identity, intimacy, and racialized embodiment into a culturally responsive workbook tailored for Black women. Consistent with the requirements of a doctoral project rather than an empirical dissertation, the focus remained on intervention development and

theoretical integration. No data collection, outcome measurement, or efficacy testing was conducted. The methodological emphasis centered on conceptual clarity, theoretical alignment, and professional applicability.

Project Production Phases

Workbook development occurred in four sequential phases:

1. Literature Integration and Conceptual Mapping
2. Workbook Content Development
3. Expert Review and Revision
4. Finalization and Formatting

Each phase is described below.

Phase 1: Literature Integration and Conceptual Mapping

Phase 1 involved synthesizing cross-disciplinary literature related to BDD, body image disturbance, intimate relationships, identity development, racialized embodiment, attachment processes, and culturally responsive psychotherapy. This review informed the conceptual design of the workbook through the identification of core themes, intervention targets, and relational processes relevant to Black women's lived experiences. Conceptual mapping was used to translate theoretical frameworks into applied content. This process ensured that each workbook module reflected empirical grounding while remaining culturally situated and clinically meaningful.

Expansion and Refinement of the Literature Base. During development, the literature review was expanded and refined to strengthen theoretical alignment and ensure contemporary relevance. Particular attention was given to:

- Post-2018 peer-reviewed literature on BDD treatment

- Research on sexual functioning and body image
- Literature on racialized objectification and colorism
- Literature on attachment insecurity and relational vulnerability
- Trauma-informed care frameworks and embodied regulation practices

As somatic grounding exercises and relational communication tools were incorporated into the workbook, additional literature was integrated to support the theoretical soundness of embodied and intimacy-focused interventions. Systematic reviews of BDD treatment and cognitive-behavioral approaches were reexamined to confirm consistency with current best practice guidelines (e.g., Phillips, 2017; Veale et al., 2016). Literature on racialized embodiment and culturally responsive psychotherapy informed the expansion of identity exploration components. Research linking body image and sexual agency among women of color further supported the inclusion of intimacy-oriented modules. These refinements ensured alignment with contemporary academic discourse and theoretical fidelity.

Phase 2: Workbook Content Development

In Phase 2, workbook modules were developed and organized into a cohesive structure. Content included:

- Psychoeducational materials
- Guided reflection exercises
- Coping strategies
- Intimacy-focused prompts
- Embodiment and grounding practices
- Culturally relevant narratives and identity exploration activities

All materials were designed to promote self-awareness, self-compassion, relational insight, and embodied reflection. The workbook was intentionally structured as a psychoeducational and reflective resource rather than a diagnostic or therapeutic intervention. Language was developed to be trauma-informed, accessible, and appropriate for use in clinical, community, or self-guided contexts.

Phase 3: Expert Review and Revision

The workbook underwent structured review by professionals with expertise in mental health, sexology, trauma-informed care, BDD, and Black women's wellness. Feedback addressed:

- Clinical accuracy
- Cultural congruence
- Ethical clarity
- Conceptual coherence
- Practical usability

Revisions were implemented to refine language, strengthen theoretical consistency, clarify scope-of-use boundaries, and enhance accessibility for both clinicians and end users.

Phase 4: Finalization and Formatting

The final phase involved comprehensive editing, formatting, and preparation for dissemination. This included:

- Trauma-sensitive language review
- Clarification of scope-of-use and referral disclaimers
- Accessibility considerations
- Preparation of digital and print-ready formats

This phase ensured professional presentation, readability, and adherence to ethical standards.

Theoretical Foundations Informing the Methodology

The methodological orientation was guided by three complementary theoretical frameworks: objectification theory, intersectionality informed by Black feminist thought, and attachment theory. These frameworks served as organizing principles for workbook construction and informed the development of psychoeducational content, reflective exercises, and relational prompts. Rather than functioning as evaluative frameworks, these theories provided conceptual scaffolding to ensure that the workbook integrated embodiment, identity, and intimacy within culturally grounded and relationally meaningful approaches.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations were central to the workbook's development. Safeguards included:

- Use of trauma-informed language and structure
- Avoidance of pathologizing or corrective framing
- Clear distinction between psychoeducational support and psychotherapy
- Explicit scope-of-use and referral disclaimers
- Sensitivity to racialized and gendered experiences of embodiment

The workbook was designed to promote insight and empowerment while maintaining appropriate professional boundaries.

Revisions and Developmental Refinement

Several refinements were implemented to strengthen conceptual clarity, theoretical alignment, and institutional compliance. One significant modification involved clarifying the scope and framing of the project. Early drafts included language consistent with empirical research

methodology; in response to committee guidance, references to data collection, analysis, and research outcomes were removed to accurately represent the project as a practice-based doctoral workbook. A second refinement involved the expansion of the clinician's appendix. The inclusion of culturally responsive assessment prompts and implementation guidance enhanced the workbook's professional applicability. Structural revisions improved conceptual flow, with identity and embodiment foundations repositioned to precede intimacy-focused modules. Additionally, somatic and embodiment-based exercises were expanded in alignment with contemporary trauma-informed frameworks (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration [SAMHSA], 2014), strengthening both theoretical integration and practical relevance.

Challenges During Project Development

The development of the *Sex for Every Body* workbook required balancing scholarly rigor with accessibility for the intended audience. One challenge involved translating complex theoretical constructs, such as intersectionality, objectification theory, and attachment theory, into language and exercises that remained academically grounded while accessible across clinical and self-guided contexts. A second challenge involved balancing psychoeducational content with experiential engagement. Early drafts emphasized theoretical explanation; subsequent revisions incorporated reflection prompts, journaling exercises, and embodiment practices to support active engagement. Ensuring cultural sensitivity while avoiding pathologization required framing body image distress within broader sociocultural contexts while maintaining attention to psychological mechanisms associated with dysmorphic distress. Finally, formatting considerations required redesigning exercises for print accessibility and expanding

appendices to support both reader reflection and clinician implementation. These refinements enhanced the workbook's usability across contexts.

Outcome

The outcome of this doctoral project is the development of *Sex for Every Body*, a culturally responsive therapeutic workbook designed to support Black women navigating body dysmorphia, body image distress, and intimacy-related challenges. The workbook was developed through an iterative process informed by interdisciplinary literature in body image research, sexology, trauma-informed care, and culturally responsive psychological practice.

The completed workbook consists of 13 chapters organized across three thematic sections. The first section introduces the sociocultural and psychological foundations of body image and embodiment among Black women, including discussions of Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD), racialized beauty standards, objectification processes, and identity development. The second section focuses on relational and intimate dimensions of embodiment, addressing topics such as vulnerability, communication, boundaries, sexual agency, and the role of body image in emotional and sexual intimacy. The final section centers on integration and application, providing strategies for sustaining embodied healing and offering guidance for clinicians seeking to incorporate culturally responsive approaches when working with Black women experiencing body image distress.

Each chapter integrates psychoeducational content with structured reflective exercises designed to facilitate self-awareness, embodied reflection, and relational insight. These exercises include journaling prompts, guided self-reflection activities, embodiment awareness practices, and relational communication tools. The workbook is designed to function both as a self-guided reflective resource and as a structured adjunct for use within therapeutic contexts.

In addition to the primary workbook chapters, the final project includes several appendices that expand the workbook's practical utility. These appendices provide additional reflective worksheets, cultural identity exploration tools, relational exercises, clinician-oriented implementation guidelines, and affirmations supporting embodied healing. Together, these materials allow the workbook to serve as both an educational and reflective resource for readers as well as a supplemental clinical tool for practitioners. The final product represents a theory-to-practice translational contribution that integrates body dysmorphia, intersectionality, and relational intimacy into a culturally responsive applied framework. By explicitly linking body image distress to relational and sexual functioning, the workbook addresses a gap in both body image and sex therapy literature. It provides a structured, clinically applicable resource.

Discussion

Overview

This doctoral project contributes to the field of sexology by translating contemporary literature on BDD, racialized embodiment, and relational intimacy into a culturally responsive applied framework. Although existing treatment literature emphasizes cognitive-behavioral and pharmacological approaches (Phillips, 2017; Veale et al., 2016), these models insufficiently account for sociocultural and racialized influences on appearance-related distress among Black women. This project integrates intersectionality (Crenshaw, 1989), Objectification theory (Calogero & Tylka, 2020; Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997), and attachment theory (Hazan & Shaver, 1987) within a structured therapeutic workbook. Rather than proposing a novel theoretical model, it operationalizes established theories within a culturally specific context. This translational approach extends the discourse beyond intrapsychic symptom management to include identity-informed embodiment and relational functioning.

Addressing Gaps in Theoretical Understanding

Conventional BDD models conceptualize symptomatology in terms of perceptual distortion, maladaptive cognitions, and compulsive behavioral cycles (Phillips, 2017). While empirically supported, these frameworks underemphasize sociocultural contributors to body dissatisfaction and constrained sexual expression. Emerging literature identifies the roles of racialized surveillance, colorism, and internalized objectification in shaping body image among women of color. By integrating literature on racialized embodiment and structural objectification, this project situates body dysmorphic distress among Black women as both intrapsychic and socially mediated. This perspective moves beyond reductionist cognitive interpretations while maintaining theoretical consistency with established frameworks.

Accordingly, the project does not introduce a new theory but operationalizes existing theories within a culturally grounded applied framework.

Implications for Sexology

Body image functions as a critical determinant of sexual desire, arousal, relational vulnerability, and erotic agency. However, sexology has historically prioritized physiological dysfunction and relational discord, with limited attention to racialized embodiment as a contributor to sexual inhibition. By centering cultural and relational context, this project expands sexological understanding of how internalized surveillance, identity-based shame, and sociocultural positioning shape sexual presence and engagement. For Black women, sexual difficulties reflect not only relational or physiological factors but also persistent experiences of hypervisibility, aesthetic regulation, and anticipatory rejection within racialized and gendered social systems. This reframing supports the development of identity-informed sexual health interventions and underscores the importance of culturally competent sex therapy practices.

Clinical and Professional Relevance

The translational design of the workbook provides clinicians with a culturally informed adjunctive resource. By integrating cognitive-behavioral principles with trauma-informed embodiment frameworks (SAMHSA, 2014) and intersectional reflection exercises, the workbook demonstrates how established therapeutic approaches can incorporate cultural context without compromising theoretical integrity. The resource may be applied across multiple clinical contexts, including as a supplement to CBT-based BDD protocols, an adjunct to trauma-focused or EMDR-informed interventions, a structured tool for group therapy, and a relational exploration resource in couples work. The inclusion of a clinician appendix supports implementation by providing assessment prompts and culturally responsive application guidance.

More broadly, this project reframes body image distress as an experience shaped by sociocultural and structural influences rather than solely distorted cognition.

Limitations

Although informed by contemporary peer-reviewed literature, including recent treatment reviews and culturally responsive psychotherapy research, the workbook has not undergone empirical testing for effectiveness. As a practice-based doctoral project, its claims are grounded in translational synthesis rather than outcome evaluation. Additionally, while the project centers Black women's experiences, it does not capture the full heterogeneity of Black diasporic identities across nationality, socioeconomic status, sexuality, and cultural context. Future adaptations may expand intersectional specificity and global applicability.

Future Directions

Future research should examine relational and sexual functioning outcomes associated with identity-informed BDD interventions. Pilot studies are particularly warranted given emerging evidence linking attachment insecurity, racialized stress, and body dissatisfaction. Further investigation into somatic and embodiment-based interventions may address limitations of current BDD treatment approaches beyond exposure-based protocols. The translational framework developed in this project provides a foundation for empirical validation, adaptation across diverse populations, and expansion into clinician training models focused on culturally responsive, intimacy-centered care.

Conclusion

This doctoral project advances sexological and counseling discourse by integrating culturally responsive frameworks with the study of body dysmorphia and intimacy among Black women. Through a theory-to-practice translational approach, it synthesizes literature on body

image, racialized embodiment, and relational functioning into a structured, applied workbook for both individual and clinical use. By situating body dysmorphic distress within intersecting sociocultural, relational, and psychological contexts, this project moves beyond models that conceptualize these concerns solely as cognitive or perceptual distortions. Instead, it positions body image as shaped by systems of race, gender, and social surveillance, thereby expanding how embodiment is understood within sexology and psychotherapy.

Sex for Every Body provides a culturally grounded framework that integrates psychoeducation, guided reflection, and embodiment-based practices to support identity exploration, relational awareness, and embodied self-understanding. In doing so, it offers a clinically applicable resource addressing the intersection of body image and intimacy—an area that remains underdeveloped in existing interventions. This project underscores the necessity of culturally responsive approaches in addressing body image and sexual well-being, emphasizing that meaningful intervention requires attention to both intrapsychic processes and the sociocultural forces shaping embodied experience. In sum, *Sex for Every Body* offers a theoretically grounded and clinically relevant resource that bridges body dysmorphia and intimacy through a culturally specific lens, reinforcing the importance of integrating identity, embodiment, and relational processes in promoting holistic sexual and psychological well-being.

References

- Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M. C., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978). *Patterns of attachment: A psychological study of the strange situation*. Lawrence Erlbaum.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Association Publishing.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2022). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5 (R))* (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Association Publishing.
- Armer, T. I. (2017). *Black women's body image and Black-oriented media consumption* [Master's thesis, The University of Alabama]. <https://ir-api.ua.edu/api/core/bitstreams/71d1e6e6-30a7-4cec-83f9-953568264d4e/content>
- Awad, G. H., Norwood, C., Taylor, D. S., Martinez, M., McClain, S., Jones, B., Holman, A., & Chapman-Hilliard, C. (2015). Beauty and body image concerns among African American college women. *The Journal of Black Psychology*, 41(6), 540–564. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095798414550864>
- Baugh, E., Mullis, R., Mullis, A., Hicks, M., & Peterson, G. (2010). Ethnic identity and body image among Black and White college females. *Journal of American College Health: J of ACH*, 59(2), 105–109. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2010.483713>
- Bennett, J. (2016). *Being property once myself: Blackness and the end of man*. Harvard University Press.
- Botta, R. (2000). The mirror of television: A comparison of Black and White adolescents' body image. *The Journal of Communication*, 50(3), 144–159. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/50.3.144>

- Boutté, R. L., Johnson, A., Goel, N. J., Simpson, C. C., & Mazzeo, S. E. (2025). Racialized body dissatisfaction in Black women: Development of the Black feminist model of body image. *Journal of Eating Disorders*, 13(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40337-025-01190-5>
- Bowlby, J. (1969). *Attachment: Attachment and loss* (2nd ed.). Basic Books.
- Brown, L. S., & Jenkins, D. A. (2021). *Trauma-informed treatment with culturally diverse populations*. American Psychological Association.
- Buhlmann, U., Glaesmer, H., Mewes, R., Fama, J. M., Wilhelm, S., Brähler, E., & Rief, W. (2010). Updates on the prevalence of body dysmorphic disorder: A population-based survey. *Psychiatry Research*, 178(1), 171–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2009.05.002>
- Byrd, A. D., & Carter, R. T. (2020). The influence of colorism on body image, self-esteem, and life satisfaction among African American women. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 48(4), 523–548
- Calogero, R. M., & Tylka, T. L. (2020). The objectification of women's bodies. In T. L. Tylka & N. Piran (Eds.), *Handbook of positive body image and embodiment* (pp. 13–28). Oxford University Press.
- Capodilupo, C. M. (2015). One size does not fit all: Using variables other than the thin ideal to understand body dissatisfaction. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 62(2), 289–299. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037649>
- Capodilupo, C. M., & Forsyth, J. M. (2014). Consistently inconsistent: A review of the literature on eating disorders and body image among women of color. In *Handbook of race-*

ethnicity and gender in psychology (pp. 343–359). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-8860-6_16

Cash, T. F. (2019). *Cognitive-behavioral perspectives on body image*. Guilford Press.

Cash, T. F., & Pruzinsky, T. (1990). *Body images: Development, deviance, and change*. Guilford Press.

Cash, T. F., Thériault, J., & Annis, N. M. (2004). Body image in an interpersonal context: Adult attachment, fear of intimacy and social anxiety. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 23(1), 89–103. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.23.1.89.26987>

Castle, D., Beilharz, F., Phillips, K. A., Brakoulias, V., Drummond, L. M., Hollander, E., Ioannidis, K., Pallanti, S., Chamberlain, S. R., Rossell, S. L., Veale, D., Wilhelm, S., Van Ameringen, M., Dell’Osso, B., Menchon, J. M., & Fineberg, N. A. (2021). Body dysmorphic disorder: A treatment synthesis and consensus on behalf of the International College of Obsessive-Compulsive Spectrum Disorders and the Obsessive Compulsive and Related Disorders Network of the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology. *International Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 36(2), 61–75. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YIC.0000000000000342>

Chae, J. (2017). Virtual makeover: Selfie-taking and social media use increase selfie-editing frequency through social comparison. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 66, 370–376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.10.007>

Coffino, J. A., Udo, T., & Grilo, C. M. (2019). The significance of overvaluation of shape or weight in binge-eating disorder: Results from a national sample of U.s. adults. *Obesity*, 27(8), 1367–1371. <https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.22539>

- Collins, P. H. (2000). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. *The University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), 139–167.
<https://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/uclf/vol1989/iss1/8/>
- Cross, W. E. (1991). *Shades of Black: Diversity in African American identity*. Temple University Press.
- Didie, E. R., Kuniega-Pietrzak, T., & Phillips, K. A. (2010). Body image in patients with body dysmorphic disorder: evaluations of and investment in appearance, health/illness, and fitness. *Body Image*, 7(1), 66–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2009.09.007>
- Dolezal, L. (2015). *The body and shame: Phenomenology, feminism, and the socially shaped body*. Lexington Books.
- Evans, P., & McConnell, A. (2010). Do racial minorities respond in the same way to mainstream beauty standards? Social comparison processes in Asian, Black, and White women. *Self and Identity: The Journal of the International Society for Self and Identity*, 2(2), 153–167. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15298860309030>
- Fardouly, J., Diedrichs, P. C., Vartanian, L. R., & Halliwell, E. (2015). Social comparisons on social media: The impact of Facebook on young women's body image concerns and mood. *Body Image*, 13, 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.12.002>
- Fardouly, J., & Vartanian, L. R. (2016). Social media and body image concerns: Current research and future directions. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 9, 1–5.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.09.005>

- Félix, S., & Williams, M. (2023). Intersectional beauty pressures and relational intimacy among women of color. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 70(2), 141–156.
- Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations; Studies Towards the Integration of the Social Sciences*, 7(2), 117–140.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675400700202>
- Fredrickson, B. L., & Roberts, T.-A. (1997). Objectification theory: Toward understanding women's lived experiences and mental health risks. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 21(2), 173–206. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-6402.1997.tb00108.x>
- Gordon, C. (2008). Media consumption and body image among Black girls. *Journal of Black Studies*, 39(2), 193–213.
- Hackett, S., & Wingood, G. (2022). Sexual agency and relational power among Black women. *Journal of Black Sexuality and Relationships*, 9(1), 23–45.
- Hall, R. (1995). The bleaching syndrome: African Americans' response to cultural domination Vis-à-Vis skin color. *Journal of Black Studies*, 26(2), 172–184.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/002193479502600205>
- Hargons, C. N., Mosley, D. V., & Stevens-Watkins, D. (2021). Black women's sexual health and liberation psychology. *Journal of Black Psychology*, 47(3), 193–214.
- Hazan, C., & Shaver, P. (1987). Romantic love conceptualized as an attachment process. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(3), 511–524. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.52.3.511>
- Hicks, D. (2018). *Leading with dignity: How to create a culture that brings out the best in people*. Yale University Press.

- Himle, J. A., Chatters, L. M., Taylor, R. J., & Nguyen, A. W. (2014). The relationship between obsessive-compulsive disorder and race. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 28(3), 334–341.
- Hoepfner, S. S., Hall, M. D., Hiranandani, M., Greenberg, J. L., Wilhelm, S., & Phillips, K. A. (2023). Time to response in therapy for body dysmorphic disorder: A comparison of cognitive behavioral therapy and supportive psychotherapy. *Behavior Therapy*, 55(1), 68–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2023.05.006>
- Holland, G., & Tiggemann, M. (2016). A systematic review of the impact of the use of social networking sites on body image and disordered eating outcomes. *Body Image*, 17, 100–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.02.008>
- Hooks, B. (1992). *Black looks: Race and representation*. South End Press.
- Hong, K., Nezgovorova, V., & Hollander, E. (2018). New perspectives in the treatment of body dysmorphic disorder. *F1000Research*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.13700.1>
- Hunter, M. (2011). Buying racial capital: Skin-bleaching and cosmetic surgery in a globalized world. *Journal of Pan African Studies*, 4(4), 142–164.
<https://scholarcommons.scu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1124&context=soc>
- International OCD Foundation. (2020). *Assessment tools for body dysmorphic disorder*.
<https://bdd.iocdf.org/professionals/assessment-tools-for-bdd/>
- Jassi, A., & Krebs, G. (2023). Body dysmorphic disorder. *The Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 46(1), 197–209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2022.10.005>
- Kashubeck-West, S., Coker, A. D., Awad, G. H., Stinson, R. D., Bledman, R., & Mintz, L. (2013). Do measures commonly used in body image research perform adequately with African American college women? *Cultural Diversity & Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 19(3), 357–368. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031905>

- Lee, J., Kim, S., & Martinez, R. (2023). Anxiety, body monitoring, and relational avoidance during sexual activity. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 52(1), 92–108.
- Lewis, J. A., Mendenhall, R., Harwood, S. A., & Browne Hunt, M. (2017). Coping with gendered racial microaggressions among Black women college students. *Journal of African American Studies*, 17(1), 51–73. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12111-012-9219-0>
- Lewis, J. A., & Neville, H. A. (2021a). Construction and initial validation of the Gendered Racial Microaggressions Scale for Black women. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 62(2), 289–302. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000062>
- Lewis, J. A., & Neville, H. A. (2021b). Gendered racial microaggressions and body image among Black women. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 27(2), 271–282.
- Liu, Y., Lai, L., Wilhelm, S., Phillips, K. A., Guo, Y., Greenberg, J. L., & Ren, Z. (2024). The efficacy of psychological treatments on body dysmorphic disorder: A meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Psychological Medicine*, 54(15), 4048–4061. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291724002733>
- Lundström, L., Flygare, O., Ivanova, E., Mataix-Cols, D., Enander, J., Pascal, D., Chen, L.-L., Andersson, E., & Rück, C. (2023). Effectiveness of Internet-based cognitive-behavioural therapy for obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD-NET) and body dysmorphic disorder (BDD-NET) in the Swedish public health system using the RE-AIM implementation framework. *Internet Interventions*, 31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.invent.2023.100608>
- Marques, L. (2011). Disparities in mental health treatment for obsessive-compulsive spectrum disorders. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 25(2), 209–214.

- McLean, S. A., Paxton, S. J., Wertheim, E. H., & Masters, J. (2015). Selfies and social media: Relationships between self-image editing and photo-investment and body dissatisfaction and dietary restraint. *Journal of Eating Disorders*, 3(Suppl 1), O21–O21.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/2050-2974-3-s1-o21>
- Ménard, A. D., & Offman, A. (2022). Body shame and emotional intimacy. *Sexual and Relationship Therapy*, 37(2), 215–232.
- Nadal, K. L. (2011). The Racial and Ethnic Microaggressions Scale (REMS): Construction, reliability, and validity. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 58(4), 470–480.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025193>
- Neal, A. M., & Wilson, M. L. (1989). The role of skin color and features in the black community: Implications for Black women and therapy. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 9(3), 323–333. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7358\(89\)90060-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7358(89)90060-3)
- Neff, L. J., Sargent, R. G., McKeown, R. E., Jackson, K. L., & Valois, R. F. (1997). Black-White differences in body size perceptions and weight management practices among adolescent females. *The Journal of Adolescent Health: Official Publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine*, 20(6), 459–465. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1054-139X\(96\)00273-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1054-139X(96)00273-X)
- Okazawa-Rey, M., Robinson, T., & Ward, J. V. (1987). Black women and the politics of skin color and hair. *Women & Therapy*, 6(1–2), 89–102.
https://doi.org/10.1300/j015v06n01_07
- Oakes, A., Collison, J., & Milne-home, J. (2016). Repetitive, safe, and automatic: The experience of appearance-related behaviours in body dysmorphic disorder: BDD behaviour. *Australian Psychologist*, 52(6), 433–441. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ap.12247>

- Pai, S., & Schryver, K. (2015). *Children, teens, media and body image: A Common Sense Media Research Brief*.
<https://www.common sense media.org/sites/default/files/research/report/csm-body-image-report-012615-interactive.pdf>
- Parker, S. (2016). Revisiting the Hottentot Venus. *Journal of Black Studies*, 47(6), 570–589.
- Parker, S., Nichter, M., Nichter, M., Vuckovic, N., Sims, C., & Ritenbaugh, C. (1995). Body image and weight concerns among African American and White adolescent females: Differences that make a difference. *Human Organization*, 54(2), 103–114.
<https://doi.org/10.17730/humo.54.2.06h663745q650450>
- Perkins, A. (2019). Body dysmorphic disorder: The drive for perfection: The drive for perfection. *Nursing*, 49(3), 28–33.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NURSE.0000553273.24557.58>
- Perloff, R. M. (2014). Social media effects on young women's body image concerns: Theoretical perspectives and an agenda for research. *Sex Roles*, 71(11–12), 363–377.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-014-0384-6>
- Phillips, K. A. (2005). *The broken mirror: Understanding and treating body dysmorphic disorder*. Oxford University Press.
- Phillips, K. A. (2009). *Understanding body dysmorphic disorder: An essential guide*. Oxford University Press.
- Phillips, K. A. (2017). *Body dysmorphic disorder: Advances in research and clinical practice*. Oxford University Press.
- Phillips, K. A., Menard, W., Quinn, E., Didie, E. R., & Stout, R. L. (2013). A 4-year prospective observational follow-up study of course and predictors of course in body dysmorphic

- disorder. *Psychological Medicine*, 43(5), 1109–1117.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291712001730>
- Pujols, Y., Meston, C., & Seal, B. (2020). Body image and sexual satisfaction among women. *Journal of Sex Research*, 57(4), 451–463.
- Quinn-Nilas, C., Benson, L., Milhausen, R., & Wood, J. (2016). Sexual “spectatoring” and body image. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 42(2), 89–107.
- Ramseyer Winter, V., Jones, A., & O’Neill, E. (2019). Eating breakfast and family meals in adolescence: The role of body image. *Social Work in Public Health*, 34(3), 230–238.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/19371918.2019.1575314>
- Rautio, D., Andrén, P., Gumpert, M., Jolstedt, M., Jassi, A., Krebs, G., Jansson-Fröjmark, M., Lundgren, T., Serlachius, E., Mataix-Cols, D., & Fernández de la Cruz, L. (2023). Therapist-guided, Internet-delivered cognitive behaviour therapy for adolescents with body dysmorphic disorder: A feasibility trial with long-term follow-up. *Internet Interventions*, 34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.invent.2023.100688>
- Rief, W., Buhlmann, U., Wilhelm, S., Borkenhagen, A., & Brähler, E. (2006). The prevalence of body dysmorphic disorder: a population-based survey. *Psychological Medicine*, 36(6), 877–885. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291706007264>
- Robinson, M., Ash, T., & Salim, N. (2020). Social media appearance pressures and body dissatisfaction among Black women. *Body Image*, 33, 171–181.
- Rogers, C. R. (1959). A theory of therapy, personality, and interpersonal relationships. In S. Koch (Ed.), *Psychology: A study of a science* (Vol. 3, pp. 184–256). McGraw-Hill.
- Sandoval, C. M., Romano, K. A., Heron, K. E., Dawson, C. A., Sutton, T. G., Winstead, B. A., & Lewis, R. J. (2021). Associations between body dissatisfaction and relationship

- functioning among same-sex female couples: An actor-partner interdependence model. *Journal of Family Psychology: JFP: Journal of the Division of Family Psychology of the American Psychological Association (Division 43)*, 36(5), 780–790. <https://doi.org/10.1037/fam0000945>
- Silver, J., Reavey, P., & Anne Fineberg, N. (2010). How do people with body dysmorphic disorder view themselves? A thematic analysis. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice*, 14(3), 190–197. <https://doi.org/10.3109/13651501003735492>
- Stanton, A. G., Avery, L. R., Matsuzaka, S., & Espinel, S. (2022). Black women’s experiences of gendered racial sexual objectification, body image, and depressive symptoms. *Body Image*, 41, 443–452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2022.04.014>
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2014). *SAMHSA’s concept of trauma and guidance for a trauma-informed approach*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Sue, D. W., Capodilupo, C. M., Torino, G. C., Bucceri, J. M., Holder, A. M. B., Nadal, K. L., & Esquilin, M. (2007). Racial microaggressions in everyday life: Implications for clinical practice. *The American Psychologist*, 62(4), 271–286. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.62.4.271>
- Sue, D. W., & Sue, D. (2016). *Counseling the culturally diverse: Theory and practice* (7th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Thomas, A. J., Witherspoon, K. M., & Speight, S. L. (2019). Gendered racism and Black women’s sexual self-concept. *Sex Roles*, 81(3–4), 163–178.

- Thompson, J. K., Heinberg, L. J., Altabe, M., & Tantleff-Dunn, S. (1999). *Exacting beauty: Theory, assessment, and treatment of body image disturbance*. American Psychological Association.
- Tiggemann, M., & Zaccardo, M. (2015). “Exercise to be fit, not skinny”: The effect of fitspiration imagery on women’s body image. *Body Image*, 15, 61–67.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2015.06.003>
- Tiggemann, M., & Zaccardo, M. (2018). Strong is the new skinny. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 23(8), 1003–1014.
- Tylka, T L., & Wood-Barcalow, N. L. (2015). The Body Appreciation Scale-2: Item refinement and psychometric evaluation. *Body Image*, 12, 53–67.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.09.006>
- Veale, D. (2004). Body dysmorphic disorder. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 80(940), 67–71.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/pmj.2003.015289>
- Veale, D., Gledhill, L. J., Christodoulou, P., & Hodsoll, J. (2016). Body dysmorphic disorder in different settings: A systematic review and estimated weighted prevalence. *Body Image*, 18, 168–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.07.003>
- Veale, D., & Neziroglu, F. (2010). *Body dysmorphic disorder: A treatment manual*. Wiley.
- Walker, J. V. (2021). Colorism and body image concerns among African American women. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 45(3), 334–348.
- Williams, M. T., Rouleau, T. M., La Torre, J. T., & Sharif, N. (2019). Cultural competency in the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder: Practitioner guidelines. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapist*, 13(e48), 512–526. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1754470x20000501>

Woertman, L., & van den Brink, F. (2012). Body image and female sexual functioning and behavior: A review. *Journal of Sex Research*, 49(2–3), 184–211.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2012.658586>

Wood, M., Nikel, J., & Petrie, T. (2010). Ethnic identity and body esteem. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 57(2), 141–153.