

SEX FOR EVERY BODY

Intimacy, Identity, and Body Dysmorphia Among Black Women

Doctoral Project

LaShonda H. Henderson, Ph.D.

Modern Sex Therapy Institutes

2026

PUBLIC EDITION

*The therapeutic workbook developed as part of this doctoral project is not included in this edition and is reserved
for separate publication.*

Copyright © 2026 LaShonda H. Henderson. All rights reserved.

This public edition contains the scholarly component of the doctoral project Sex for Every Body: Intimacy, Identity, and Body Dysmorphia Among Black Women.

The Sex for Every Body therapeutic workbook, including its exercises, worksheets, prompts, clinician resources, appendices, and related materials, is not included in this edition and is reserved for separate publication.

This material is provided for educational and scholarly purposes and is not intended as a substitute for individualized mental health care, diagnosis, or treatment.

No part of this public edition may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior written permission from the copyright holder, except for brief quotations used for scholarly or review purposes.

Abstract

This doctoral project presents the development of *Sex for Every Body*, a culturally responsive therapeutic workbook designed to support Black women navigating body dysmorphia, body image distress, and intimacy-related challenges. Informed by existing literature on Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD), intersectionality, objectification theory, and attachment theory, the workbook synthesizes established psychological and sexological frameworks into an applied, structured resource. The project addresses a gap in culturally specific, practice-based tools by centering the sociocultural, relational, and embodied contexts that shape body-image experiences among Black women. Rather than introducing new empirical research, this workbook integrates psychoeducation, guided reflection, trauma-informed exercises, and relational awareness practices grounded in existing literature. Developed as a self-led and clinician-supported resource, the workbook emphasizes self-compassion, embodied awareness, and culturally affirming meaning-making. Transforming theoretical and clinical knowledge into an accessible workbook, this project adds to culturally responsive approaches in sexology and mental health work while emphasizing the need for identity-informed interventions with respect to body image.

Acknowledgement

This work was made possible through the support, guidance, and presence of many individuals and communities.

I extend my sincere gratitude to my doctoral committee, Dr. Karla Lloyd and Dr. Daniella Atwell-Brown, for their scholarly guidance, thoughtful feedback, and commitment to rigor and integrity throughout the development of this doctoral project. Your mentorship helped shape this work into a contribution that bridges theory and practice.

I am deeply thankful to the clients, colleagues, and communities who informed this work through their courage, honesty, and trust. Your stories—shared in clinical spaces, classrooms, and conversations—are reflected throughout these pages.

I also acknowledge the lineage of scholars, clinicians, and Black feminist thinkers whose work laid the foundation for this workbook. Your contributions made it possible to imagine healing that honors culture, embodiment, and identity.

Finally, I offer gratitude to those who supported me personally throughout this process—those who held space, encouraged rest, and reminded me of the purpose behind the work. This workbook is a testament to collective care, resilience, and the power of being seen.

Dedication

This work is dedicated to Black women whose bodies have been scrutinized, disciplined, and misunderstood—yet who continue to seek wholeness, intimacy, and self-trust. It is for those who learned to survive in their bodies before they were taught how to feel at home in them.

May this work serve as a reminder that your body is not a problem to be solved, but a place worthy of care, dignity, and belonging.

Table of Contents

Introduction

- Opening
- Culture and Society
- Problem Statement and Justification
- Theoretical and Conceptual Framework
- Significance: Why is the Problem Important?
- Author Positionality
- Definitions of Important Terms
- Limitations
- Summary

Literature Review

- Body Dysmorphic Disorder: Clinical Overview and Implications for Black Women's Intimacy
- Cultural Context and Relational Meaning
- Black Women, Body Image, and Identity
- Media Exposure, Social Comparison, and Digital Embodiment in Body Dysmorphic Distress Among Black Women
- Theoretical Framework
- Mental Health, Trauma, and Embodied Intimacy
- Intimacy and Body Image Among Black Women
- Body Dysmorphic Disorder and Intimacy: Dyadic Impact and Mechanisms
- Limitations of Existing BDD Interventions for Black Women

Methodology

- Project Design
- Project Production Phases
- Theoretical Foundations Informing the Methodology
- Ethical Considerations
- Revisions and Developmental Refinement
- Challenges During Project Development
- Outcome

Discussion

- Overview
- Addressing Gaps in Theoretical Understanding
- Implications for Sexology
- Clinical and Professional Relevance
- Limitations
- Future Directions

Conclusion

References

Introduction

Opening

Body image distress is a spectrum of symptomatology with several contributors including normative dissatisfaction and clinically impairing disorders like Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD). BDD is a complex and frequently underrecognized mental disorder characterized by intrusive, persistent preoccupations with perceived physical defects that are not observable or appear minor to others. These preoccupations often lead to significant distress, shame, and avoidance behaviors (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2022).

Although BDD represents the more severe end of the continuum, many individuals experience subclinical body dysmorphic features, including ongoing body-related distress, hypervigilance, and excessive self-monitoring that meaningfully affect emotional well-being and intimate relationships without meeting full diagnostic criteria. Body-image distress and dysmorphic traits must therefore be understood within a broader sociocultural framework, shaped by racializing surveillance, commodification, a historically situated surveillance of the Black female body.

Body image is not an individual psychological construct; it is embedded within structural relations that shape how Black women perceive, inhabit, and relate to their bodies and this has implications for their identities in general. These dynamics influence self-concepts, vulnerability, attraction and desirability, and relational engagement. Although much of the body image research has been conducted within Western populations, the lived experiences of Black women remain underrepresented in both empirical literature and applied interventions. Existing studies often prioritize on Western and Eurocentric beauty norms while overlooking racialized, interpretive contexts, and other related stressors shaping Black women's experiences of their bodies and intimacy (Awad et al., 2015).

Consequently, the relational and intimate implications of body-image distress and BDD-related features among Black women remain comparatively understudied, limiting the development of culturally responsive resources.

Sex for Every Body is a culturally responsive workbook developed for Black women to address this gap. The workbook integrates psychoeducation, guided reflection, and trauma-informed, culturally grounded practices to enhance self-awareness and relational insight regarding body image and intimacy. Rather than functioning as a diagnostic or treatment intervention, it is positioned as a structured, exploratory resource that promotes self-compassion, meaning-making, and embodied reflection. Within this project, intimacy is conceptualized as emotional, physical, and spiritual closeness. When individual experience disconnection from their bodies, vulnerability in intimate relationships may become fraught and threatening.

This workbook seeks to facilitate reconnection by creating of a culturally affirming space in which participants can reflect on their embodied experiences with honesty and care. By centering Black women's sociocultural and relational contexts, this project contributes to counseling and sexology by advancing culturally responsive, practice-based approaches to body image and intimacy.

Culture and Society

The Western gaze has historically politicized, eroticized, and demonized the Black female body. Long-held racial stereotypes, such as the portrayal of Sarah Baartman as the "Hottentot Venus," depicted Black women as hypersexual and exotic while simultaneously devaluing their femininity (Parker, 2016). These narratives persist in contemporary media music, and advertising, where Eurocentric beauty standards emphasizing thinness, lighter skin, and straight hair dominate mainstream ideals (Hunter, 2011).

Within this psychosocial landscape, many Black women internalize contradictory messages: they are encouraged to embrace self-love while simultaneously confronted with representations suggesting they are either “too much” or “not enough.”

Social media intensifies these contradictions. Platforms initially framed as empowering spaces frequently function as arenas of comparison and self-surveillance, amplifying unattainable standards through filters and algorithmic reinforcement (Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2018). For women already navigating racialized beauty norms, this digital gaze only adds to the pressures of conformity. What emerges resembles a “double consciousness of the body,” wherein self-perception is mediated through both personal and societal scrutiny (Hooks 1992). Chronic surveillance erodes self-trust and reinforces self-objectification, a process central to body image disturbance and BDD.

Intersectionality provides a critical lens through which to interpret these experiences (Crenshaw, 1989). The dismissal of Black women’s embodiment obscures the cultural systems that both shape and interpret their bodies. Colorism, respectability politics, and gendered racism influence perceptions of desirability and worth. The psychological toll includes chronic self-surveillance, emotional labor, and anxiety about social acceptability. These conditions create an environment in which body image disturbances may develop yet remain insufficiently recognized within traditional diagnostic structures. Understanding this context underscores the need for interventions that situates body dissatisfaction within lived sociocultural realities rather than framing it solely as an individual pathology.

Problem Statement and Justification

Although BDD is defined by formal diagnostic criteria, most of the empirical research informing those criteria has been conducted with predominantly Western, White samples, raising

concerns regarding cultural applicability and diagnostic equity (Marques et al., 2011; Phillips, 2017). Emerging evidence suggests that BDD may be underrecognized among racial and ethnic minority populations due to clinician bias, limited cultural competence, and misinterpretation of culturally contextualized expressions of distress (Himle et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2019).

Black women who express appearance-related anxiety may have their concerns minimized, moralized, or misattributed to vanity rather than recognized as psychologically significant (Lewis et al., 2017; Sue et al., 2007). Such misrecognition may contribute to reduced disclosure, delayed help-seeking, and mistrust of mental health providers (Hargons et al., 2021). Consequently, the manifestation and relational impact of BDD-associated features remain insufficiently examined.

Body-image distress extends beyond self-perception and significantly influences intimate functioning. Research links negative body image to reduced sexual desire, diminished sexual satisfaction, and heightened self-consciousness during intimacy (Cash et al., 2004; Woertman & van den Brink, 2012). When individuals experience their bodies as defective, intimacy may become a site of exposure rather than connection, intensifying shame and relational withdrawal (Dolezal, 2015; Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997).

In BDD specifically, symptom severity has been associated with impaired sexual functioning, avoidance of sexual activity, and increased appearance-related distress during intimacy (Didie et al., 2006; Veale et al., 2016). Yet these relational sequelae remain underexplored for Black women.

Cognitive-behavioral treatments for BDD effectively address distorted cognitions and avoidance behaviors; however, they often insufficiently integrate cultural identity, historical trauma, spiritual meaning-making, and resilience factors central to Black women's lived

experiences (Brown & Jenkins, 2021; Williams et al., 2019). This gap highlights the need for culturally responsive resources that bridge psychological science and sociocultural context.

The Sex for Every Body responds to this need by offering a structured workbook that supports reflective engagement with beauty narratives, body-based experiences, and intimacy through a culturally affirming lens. The project translates clinical insight into guided reflection, embodiment practices, and affirmations that reinforce inherent value and relational agency.

Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

This project is grounded in a multidisciplinary framework integrating Intersectionality, Objectification Theory, and Attachment Theory. Together, these perspectives illuminate the sociocultural, cognitive-emotional, and relational dimensions of body image distress.

Intersectionality (K. Crenshaw, 1989), explains how racism, sexism, and other systems of oppression interact to shape lived experience. For Black women, evaluations of the body cannot be separated from racialized and gendered narratives historically imposed upon it.

Intersectionality positions body distress within structural context rather than isolating it as individual pathology.

Objectification Theory (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997) explains how external surveillance becomes internalized scrutiny and sexual disconnection.

Attachment Theory (Bowlby, 1969; Ainsworth et al., 1978) introduces interpersonal dimension. Early relational experiences shape vulnerability, emotional regulation, intimacy patterns. Insecure attachment may exacerbate body shame and relational avoidance.

Collectively, these frameworks conceptualize BDD-related distress as both intrapsychic and sociocultural. They directly inform the workbook's structure, including reflective journaling, grounding practices, and culturally affirming affirmations.

Significance: Why is the Problem Important?

This workbook contributes to clinical sexology and multicultural counseling by translating theory into a culturally grounded, practice-based intervention. Few resources address BDD-related distress through a framework that integrates race, gender, embodiment, and intimacy.

The workbook combines psychoeducation, reflective writing, somatic awareness, and culturally tailored cognitive-behavioral techniques.

Exercises such as mirror dialogue, body mapping, and EMDR-informed stabilization practices promote emotional regulation and embodied awareness. These techniques support insight and self-compassion while remaining distinct from formal trauma processing.

Rather than focusing solely on symptom reduction, the project emphasizes identity integration and relational reconnection. Academically, it demonstrates how psychological theory can be operationalized into culturally responsive therapeutic tools.

Author Positionality

This project emerges from the intersection of professional practice and lived experience. As a Black woman and Licensed Mental Health Counselor working with individuals navigating attachment wounds and body-based shame, the author has observed recurring patterns of dismissal and cultural invisibility in traditional therapeutic models.

Acknowledging positionality strengthens transparency while guarding against overidentification. This project balances advocacy and scholarly analysis by situating lived experience within theoretical and empirical frameworks.

Definitions of Important Terms

To maintain conceptual clarity, the key constructs that guide this project are defined as follows.

Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD): A type of mental health problem where the person is overly worried about an aspect of their physical appearance. Here, individuals perform repeated behaviors (e.g., mirror checking, grooming) that prevent functioning (American Psychiatric Association, 2022).

Body Dysmorphia: Use loosely to describe body image dissatisfaction.

Dysmorphic distress: Refers to appearance-related preoccupations that may fall below full DSM diagnostic thresholds for Body Dysmorphic Disorder but produce clinically meaningful emotional, relational, and functional impairment.

Interpersonal closeness: A construct that includes emotional closeness, vulnerability, trust and physical proximity between people. Intimacy within this project also includes self-intimacy being able to be with one's own body without judgment, let alone fear.

Self-Concept: Identity and self-worth that are based largely on personal experiences as well as the past and current sociocultural influences. Self-concept serves as a framework through which people perceive their relationships and feedback from others (Rogers, 1959).

Identity: How someone comes to bring together the various social, cultural and personal stories of their life into a coherent sense of self. For Black women, identity formation consists of negotiating multiple cultural norms and messages (sheltering in the internalized racialized other) they may have learned about their race since childhood (Cross, 1991).

Culturally Responsive Practice: A counseling and education approach that considers and incorporates clients' cultural identities, values, and lived experiences as they inform assessment

and intervention. It focuses on awareness, humility and adapting methods for diverse populations (Sue & Sue, 2016).

Defining these terms fosters a common language for discussing the dimensions of body and intimacy that are engaged throughout this project. These constructs will be woven through and grounded in the workbook's purpose and design.

Limitations

This project focuses on self-identified Black women between the ages of 18 and 55 who experience body-image distress or mild to moderate dysmorphic features.

This workbook is psychoeducational and reflective in nature and is not a substitute for psychotherapy or formal diagnosis

Summary

This section established the conceptual foundation for Sex for Every Body, positioning image distress within sociocultural, relational, and theoretical contexts. The following section presents a comprehensive literature review.

Literature Review

Body Dysmorphic Disorder: Clinical Overview and Implications for Black Women's Intimacy

Clinical literature on BDD often underemphasizes how dysmorphic distress is experienced within racialized and gendered sociocultural contexts. For Black women, appearance-related concerns are shaped by intersecting forces, including racialized beauty standards, hypervisibility, and the historical surveillance of the Black female body. The issue extends beyond internalization of appearance concerns to include how those concerns are interpreted, responded to, and either validated or minimized within interpersonal and clinical settings.

Body image distress among Black women may be downplayed, moralized, or overlooked, particularly when it intersects with cultural narratives emphasizing strength, desirability, or respectability. In intimate contexts, chronic appearance preoccupation may interfere with emotional openness, sexual agency, and relational connectedness. Internalized appearance-based shame can contribute to avoidance of intimacy, difficulty receiving affirmation, and increased self-monitoring during intimate encounters.

Although diagnostic frameworks provide important clinical guidance, they do not fully explain how dysmorphic distress disrupts intimacy for Black women whose bodies exist within contested cultural meanings.

Cognitive-behavioral models of BDD offer insight into symptom development and maintenance (Veale, 2004; Veale & Neziroglu, 2010). These models propose that selective attention and maladaptive appraisal processes contribute to heightened self-focused attention in response to internal or external triggers (e.g., mirrors, perceived bodily sensations). Individuals become preoccupied with specific aspects of their appearance while disengaging from broader bodily and relational contexts. Veale (2004) describes this process as experiencing the “self as an aesthetic object,” wherein individuals adopt an observer’s perspective toward their own bodies rather than inhabiting them experientially.

While cognitive-behavioral models have advanced understanding of symptom maintenance, much of this research has been conducted using quantitative methods within predominantly Western samples. Emerging qualitative studies highlight lived experiences of BDD, including themes of threat perception, relational disruption, identity entanglement, and paradoxical reliance on appearance-related behaviors for reassurance and control (Silver et al., 2010; Oakes et al., 2016). Participants often describe mirrors and self-surveillance practices as

simultaneously distressing and regulating, reflecting the complex emotional economy underlying dysmorphic behaviors.

Cultural Context and Relational Meaning

Qualitative literature suggests that, for Black women, dysmorphic behaviors may also function as strategies for navigating safety, visibility, and belonging within racially stratified environments. Appearance monitoring may reflect not only intrapsychic distress but also adaptive responses to external judgment and relational risk. Without addressing these cultural and relational dimensions, dominant clinical models risk framing dysmorphic distress solely as an individual pathology rather than as an embodied response to structural and interpersonal pressures.

Collectively, clinical, cognitive, and qualitative literatures describe BDD and related dysmorphic features as conditions associated with significant distress, functional impairment, and relational consequences. However, the limited inclusion of Black women's voices and sociocultural contexts constrains the applicability of these frameworks across diverse populations. This omission underscores the importance of culturally responsive, practice-based tools that address not only symptom expression but also the relational and intimate meanings embedded in body image distress.

Diagnostic Criteria, Assessments, and Differential Diagnosis

Consistent with DSM-5-TR criteria, BDD involves intrusive appearance-related preoccupation along with repetitive behaviors or mental acts and clinically significant distress or impairment (APA, 2022). As previously noted, BDD is classified within the Obsessive–Compulsive and Related Disorders category in both DSM-5 and DSM-5-TR, reflecting phenomenological overlap with obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) while maintaining

diagnostic distinction due to the narrow focus on appearance-based concerns (APA, 2013; Hong et al., 2018).

Despite shared features, BDD is distinguished by obsessions and compulsions specifically centered on appearance flaws rather than generalized threat-based fears.

Diagnosis typically established through clinical interview and is often supplemented by structured or semi-structured tools such as modules from the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 (SCID-5) as well as disorder-specific measures including the Body Dysmorphic Disorder Examination (BDDE) (Phillips, 2017). Screening tools such as the Body Dysmorphic Disorder Questionnaire (BDDQ) and the Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire assist in identifying individuals at risk by assessing appearance-related preoccupation and associated functional impairment (Cash, 2019; International OCD Foundation, 2020).

Symptoms severity is commonly evaluated using standardized measures such as Yale Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale Modified for Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD-YBOCS), the Psychiatric Status Rating Scale for BDD, and the BDDE. Insight and belief rigidity are often assessed using the Brown Assessment of Beliefs Scale and the Overvalued Ideas Scale, which help clinicians differentiate levels of insight and tailoring treatment accordingly (Phillips, 2017; International OCD Foundation, 2020).

BDD is commonly misdiagnosed as OCD, major depressive disorder, social anxiety disorder, eating disorders, trichotillomania, excoriation disorder, or generalized anxiety disorder due to symptom overlap (Phillips, 2017). Such misclassification may postpone treatment and worsen functional impairment.

Epidemiology and Functional Impact

Epidemiological studies show that BDD affects approximately 1.7–2.9% of the general population, with comparable prevalence across genders (Buhlmann et al., 2010; Rief et al., 2006; Veale et al., 2016). Onset typically occurs during adolescence, with a mean age of approximately 16 years (Phillips et al., 2005). Prevalence rates are drastically higher among individuals seeking cosmetic procedures, including rhinoplasty and other aesthetic surgeries (Veale et al., 2016).

BDD is associated with impaired self-esteem, social withdrawal, occupational and academic disruptions, and increased risk of depression. Individuals with BDD demonstrate significantly elevated rates of suicidality and suicide attempts compared to the general population, underscoring the severity of the disorder and the need for early recognition and sustained intervention (Phillips et al., 2013).

Management and Treatment Approaches

Evidence-based treatment for BDD typically involves a combination of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) and pharmacotherapy, most commonly selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs). CBT for BDD focuses on restructuring maladaptive appearance-related cognitions, reducing compulsive behaviors (e.g., checking, camouflaging, reassurance seeking), and increasing engagement in valued activities. Exposure and response prevention strategies are frequently incorporated to address avoidance and ritualistic behaviors (Castle et al., 2020; Hong et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2024). Standard treatment protocols often involve 12–22 weekly sessions, often followed by maintenance or booster sessions to reduce relapse risk (Veale et al., 2016).

SSRIs- including fluoxetine, sertraline, escitalopram, and paroxetine- have demonstrated efficacy in reducing obsessive preoccupations, depressive symptoms, and anxiety associated with BDD, either as monotherapy or in conjunction with CBT (Castle et al., 2020; Jassi & Krebs,

2023). Pharmacological treatment requires careful monitoring due to potential side effects such as gastrointestinal disturbance, insomnia, sexual dysfunction, agitation, and, in rare cases, increased suicidality or seizure risk (Hoeppner et al., 2023). Given the chronic course often associated with BDD, long-term treatment planning, psychoeducation, and collaborative care are essential.

Emerging evidence supports internet-based CBT (iCBT) as a viable alternative for individuals facing barriers to traditional therapy, including stigma, cost, geographic limitations, or lack of specialized providers. Systematic reviews indicate that therapist-guided and self-guided iCBT programs can yield symptom reductions comparable to in-person treatment (Lundström et al., 2023; Rautio et al., 2022).

Implications for Black Women and Cultural Gaps in Care

Despite robust evidence supporting cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRIs) as effective treatments for BDD, there is limited research examining treatment responsiveness among Black women. Standard diagnostic tools and intervention models have largely been developed and validated within Western, predominantly White populations, raising concerns about cultural relevance and diagnostic equity (Awad et al., 2015; Kashubeck-West et al., 2013). Measures of body dissatisfaction frequently prioritize weight and shape concerns while overlooking culturally salient dimensions of body image for women of color, including skin tone, hair texture, facial features, and racialized beauty norms.

Contrary to earlier assumptions that body dissatisfaction primarily affects White women, recent studies demonstrate comparable or higher levels of body image disturbance and disordered eating behaviors among women of color (Capodilupo & Forsyth, 2014; Coffino et al., 2019; Winter et al., 2019). However, methodological limitations and cultural mismatches in

assessment tools continue to constrain understanding of how body-image distress manifests and is treated among Black women.

Although BDD occurs across racial and ethnic groups, culturally insensitive screening, diagnostic bias, and limited representation contribute to under-recognition and undertreatment among Black women. These gaps highlight the need for culturally responsive, identity-affirming, and accessible resources that complement existing clinical interventions. The development of a culturally grounded workbook represents one such approach, offering a practice-based resource that supports reflective engagement with body image and intimacy while acknowledging the sociocultural contexts shaping Black women's embodied experiences.

While the preceding discussion situates body-image distress within cultural and relational contexts, the following section examines how these dynamics are operationalized and often obscured within diagnostic and measurement frameworks.

Measurement, Diagnostic Bias, and the Under-recognition of Body Dysmorphic Disorder in Black Women

Although Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD) is defined by standardized diagnostic criteria and supported by a growing body of empirical research, merging evidence suggests that existing assessment frameworks may inadequately capture the lived experiences of Black women. Diagnostic tools, screening instruments, and clinical heuristics used to identify BDD have largely been developed, normed, and validated within Western, predominantly White populations. Consequently, these instruments may reflect implicit assumptions regarding appearance concerns, symptom expression, and insight that do not fully align with the sociocultural contexts shaping body image distress among Black women (Awad et al., 2015; Kashubeck-West et al., 2013).

BDD is diagnosed based on persistent preoccupation with perceived physical flaws, engagement in repetitive behaviors or mental acts, and resulting distress or functional impairment (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2022). Although these criteria are intended to be culturally neutral, their application is mediated by clinician judgement, patient disclosure, and the cultural relevance of assessment items. For Black women whose body image concerns are embedded within histories of racialized surveillance, appearance-based discrimination, and sociocultural messaging, dysmorphic distress may not present in ways that are easily distinguishable from realistic concerns about appearance-based bias. This diagnostic ambiguity contributes to under-recognition.

Limitations of Standardized Assessment Tools

Common screening instruments for BDD—including the Body Dysmorphic Disorder Questionnaire (BDDQ), the Body Dysmorphic Disorder Examination (BDDE), and the Yale Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale modified for BDD (BDD-YBOCS)—primarily assess preoccupation, compulsive behaviors, distress, and insight. While psychometrically sound within the populations for which they were developed, these tools often emphasize weight, shape, symmetry, and generalize appearance dissatisfaction, potentially overlooking culturally salient dimensions of body image distress such as skin tone, hair texture, facial features, and racialized visibility (Awad et al., 2015).

Research on body image measurement consistently demonstrates that instruments normed on White samples may fail to capture the full range of concerns relevant to women of color (Kashubeck-West et al., 2013). For Black women, appearance-related distress may be tied to colorism, hair politics, and respectability norms—constructs rarely operationalized in existing BDD measures. Consequently, individuals may score below diagnostic thresholds despite

experiencing clinically meaningful distress that interferes with intimacy, relational safety, and self-concept.

Furthermore, many assessment tools rely on assumptions about insight and belief rigidity that may not translate uniformly across cultural contexts. Dysmorphic beliefs are often evaluated based on perceived irrationality or disproportionality. However, for Black women navigating environments in which appearance-based discrimination is empirically documented, concerns about being judged, devalued, or marginalized may reflect lived experience rather than cognitive distortion. Without contextual evaluation, clinicians may struggle to differentiate culturally informed vigilance dysmorphic preoccupation.

Diagnostic Bias and Clinical Misattribution

Beyond measurement limitations, diagnostic bias contributes to the under-recognition of BDD among Black women. Research on racial bias in clinical settings indicates that Black women's psychological distress is more likely to be minimized, misattributed, or reframed in moral or character-based terms rather than recognized as legitimate mental health concerns (Lewis & Neville, 2021; Sue & Sue, 2016). Appearance-related distress may be dismissed as vanity, normative dissatisfaction, or low self-esteem rather than explored as a potential manifestation of dysmorphic pathology.

Clinicians may also be influenced by stereotypes portraying Black women as resilient, emotionally contained, or less vulnerable to body image concerns. Such assumptions may reduce inquiry into appearance-related distress and decreased likelihood of formal screening for BDD. When Black women disclose body-based concerns, they may be met with reassurance or normalization rather than diagnostic curiosity, reinforcing patterns of underassessment and delayed intervention.

Cultural Context, Insight, and the Problem of “Rational” Distress

Misdiagnosis further complicates the clinical landscape. BDD is frequently misdiagnosed as major depressive disorder, social anxiety disorder, or obsessive–compulsive disorder due to overlapping symptom presentations (Phillips, 2017). For Black women, this misclassification may be compounded by clinician bias and limited cultural competence, resulting in treatment plans that address secondary symptoms while overlooking the core dysmorphic distress contributing to relational avoidance and intimacy disruption.

A persistent challenge in diagnosing BDD among Black women concerns the interpretation of insight. Diagnostic frameworks often conceptualize dysmorphic beliefs as excessive or irrational. However, this framing becomes problematic when appearance-based fears are reinforced by lived realities. Black women routinely encounter commentary, evaluation, and discrimination related to skin tone, hair, and body presentation across professional, social, and intimate settings. These experiences may validate appearance-related anxieties and complicate efforts to categorize such concerns as distorted.

Consequently, clinicians may hesitate to diagnose BDD when clients articulate appearance-based fears that appear socially plausible. This hesitation can obscure the severity, persistence, and functional impact of dysmorphic distress, particularly when it manifests through avoidance of intimacy, concealment of the body, or chronic self-surveillance. Without culturally informed assessment practices, the threshold for diagnosis may be inconsistently applied, disadvantaging Black women whose distress is embedded within racialized experience.

Implications for Intimacy and Treatment Access

Under-recognition and insufficient treatment of BDD among Black women carry significant implications for intimacy, relational functioning, and access to appropriate care.

When dysmorphic distress is not accurately identified, individuals may lack language or validation for their experiences, increasing shame and reluctance to seek support. Delayed or missed diagnoses limits access to evidence-based interventions and contributes to prolonged relational impairment.

The absence of culturally responsive diagnostic practices may further erode trust in mental health systems. Black women who experience misunderstandings or dismissed in clinical encounters may disengage from treatment altogether, reinforcing patterns of isolation and self-management. This pattern is particularly consequential in the context of intimacy-related concerns, which already carry stigma and vulnerability.

Implications for Culturally Responsive Intervention Development

The limitations of existing measurement and diagnostic frameworks underscore the need for complementary, culturally responsive resources that do not rely exclusively on formal diagnosis to facilitate healing and insight. Practice-based tools, including therapeutic workbooks, may offer accessible entry points for reflection and meaning-making without requiring diagnostic labeling. By validating sociocultural context and lived experience, such resources can bridge gaps left by traditional assessment models.

Black Women, Body Image, and Identity

The body image experiences of Black women cannot be fully understood without attending to the sociocultural, historical, and racialized contexts that shape how Black bodies are viewed and valued. Dominant Eurocentric beauty standards have long privileged whiteness, thinness, straight hair, and narrowly defined facial features, positioning Black women's bodies as deviations from the ideal. These standards are rooted in histories of colonialism, slavery, and systemic racism, wherein Black women's bodies were simultaneously hypersexualized,

commodified, surveilled, and morally regulated (Collins, 2000; Hooks, 1992). Within this context, body image concerns Black women are not merely individual experiences of dissatisfaction, but expressions of broader structural and cultural forces influencing embodiment, visibility, and perceived worth.

Body image has been conceptualized in varied ways within the literature. Early research, heavily influenced by Western norms, emphasized physical appearance as the central determinants of female body satisfaction. Studies such as Parker et al. (1995) illustrate how dominant ideals—often described as tall, thin, White, and effortlessly attractive—are socially reproduced and internalized, reinforcing what has been termed the “thinness model” of body image (Botta, 2000; Thompson et al., 1999). While influential, this framework inadequately captures the lived realities of women whose bodies are evaluated through both racialized and gendered lenses.

Subsequent literature has expanded body image beyond physical appearance, conceptualizing it as a multidimensional psychological experience shaped by attitudes, beliefs, emotions, and embodiment (Cash & Pruzinsky, 1990; Armer, 2017). From this perspective, body image is co-constructed through interpersonal relationships, community norms, and sociocultural messaging rather than formed in isolation (Pai & Schryver, 2015). Thompson et al. (1999) similarly emphasize that body image must be understood at the intersection of gender, ethnicity, and sociocultural influence—an assertion particularly salient to Black women.

Research demonstrates that body image among Black women differs in important ways from dominant Western narratives. Although Black women are often described as less invested in thinness ideals than White women, this does not equate to immunity from body dissatisfaction. Rather, Black women may negotiate competing ideals that emphasize cultural pride while

simultaneously confronting pressures to conform to Eurocentric standards (Botta, 2000; Gordon, 2008). These patterns vary across age, region, socioeconomic context, and mainstream exposure versus culturally affirming mainstream media, underscoring the importance of contextual interpretation.

Body image concerns among Black women frequently center on features that are underrepresented in traditional assessment tools, including skin tone, hair texture, facial features, and overall presentation (Hall, 1995; Neal & Wilson, 1989; Okazawa-Rey et al., 1986). Colorism and texturism—systems that privilege lighter skin and straighter hair—further satisfy beauty within Black communities (Awad et al., 2015). These dynamics are operationalized in measures normed on White populations, contributing to conceptual and methodological gaps in understanding how body dissatisfaction manifests among Black women and influences relational functioning.

Black feminist theory provides a critical lens for interpreting these dynamics. Rooted in the lived experiences of Black women, this framework emphasizes the intersecting effects of race, gender, and class on identity and embodiment (Bennett, 2016; Walker, 2014). From this perspective, Black women may simultaneously recognize dominant beauty and their inaccessibility. Research indicates that many Black women define beauty and womanhood less through physical attributes and more through qualities such as confidence, authenticity, intelligence, and relational warmth (Neff et al., 1997). Yet awareness of alternative ideals does not eliminate societal pressures that reward proximity to Eurocentric norms.

Ethnic identity has been identified as a protective factor in this process. Strong identification with one's cultural community is associated with greater body acceptance and reduced susceptibility to dominant beauty norms (Baugh et al., 2010; Capodilupo, 2015).

Conversely, limited cultural affirmation may heighten vulnerability to negative self-evaluations (Hicks, 2018). Contemporary qualitative research also documents strategic appearance modification among Black women navigating White-dominated spaces, sometimes at the expense of authenticity and psychological well-being (Boutté et al., 2025).

Collectively

this literature conceptualizes body image Black women as an identity-linked, context-dependent process shaped by racialized beauty hierarchies, community meaning, and relational negotiation. This framing provides essential context for examining how these embodied experiences influence intimacy across emotional, physical, and sexual domains.

Media Exposure, Social Comparison, and Digital Embodiment in Body Dysmorphic Distress Among Black Women

Media exposure and appearance-based social comparison significantly influence the development and maintenance of body image disturbance, including dysmorphic symptoms. Traditional research demonstrates that repeated exposure to idealized representations of beauty, emphasizing thinness, symmetry, youth, and Eurocentric features—contributes to internalization of appearance ideals, body dissatisfaction, and maladaptive comparison processes (Thompson et al., 1999; Tiggemann, 2015). However, for Black women, media influence operates through additional racialized and gendered dynamics that are insufficiently captured in mainstream models.

Social comparison theory posits that individuals evaluate themselves by comparing their appearance or status to others, particularly in the absence of objective standards (Festinger, 1954). Upward appearance-based comparison has consistently associate with increased body dissatisfaction and self-surveillance (Fardouly et al., 2015; Holland & Tiggemann, 2016). For

Black women, these processes are shaped not only by gender norms but by racialized hierarchies that position whiteness as the default standard of desirability (Collins, 2000; Hooks, 1992).

Digital platforms intensify these dynamics by creating continuous opportunities for curated and algorithmically amplified comparison. Social media transforms aesthetic conformity into social currency (Perloff, 2014; Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2018). For individuals vulnerable to body dysmorphic distress, these environments may amplify checking behaviors, preoccupation, and compulsive comparison (Holland & Tiggemann, 2016; Fardouly & Vartanian, 2016).

For Black women, digital spaces present both affirmation and risk. Movement promoting natural hair and cultural pride coexist with colorism, texturism, and monetized body hierarchies (Robinson et al., 2020; Chae, 2018). Filters and image-editing technologies that lighten skin or narrow facial features subtly reinforce Eurocentric standards (Perkins, 2019; McLean et al., 2015).

These

media-driven processes intersect with lived experiences of racialized appearance evaluation. When external feedback mirrors internal distress, appearance concerns may feel validated rather than distorted, complicating clinical interpretation (Sue & Sue, 2016; Lewis & Neville, 2021; Nadal, 2011). When external feedback mirrors internal distress, body image concerns may feel justified rather than distorted, complicating clinical recognition of BDD features. Media-driven comparison mirrors core BDD maintenance mechanisms, including selective attention and reassurance cycles (Veale & Neziroglu, 2010).

Relational consequences are significant. Internalize appearance ideals and chronic comparison are associated with intimacy avoidance, of vulnerability, reduced sexual confidence, and fear of negative evaluations (Quinn-Nilas et al., 2016; Pujols et al., 2020; Calogero & Tylka,

2020). Social media also shapes expectations of desirability and performance within romantic relationships, reinforcing pressure to look a certain way to be worthy of attention or affection (Calogero & Tylka, 2020). Despite these findings, research rarely examines outcomes at the intersection of race and gender, leaving pathways linking media, dysmorphic distress, and intimacy among Black women under-theorized.

Therapeutic approaches addressing dysmorphic distress in this population must therefore integrate critical media literacy, contextual validation, and embodied awareness alongside cognitive restructuring (Cash, 2019; Tylka & Wood-Barcalow, 2015). Reflective exercises and intentional disengagement from harmful comparison practices may help mitigate the psychological impact of digital embodiment while fostering reconnection to the body as a site of agency rather than surveillance.

Theoretical Framework

The intersection of Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD), Black women's identities, and intimacy is examined through an integrated theoretical framework drawing on Objectification Theory, Intersectionality Theory grounded in Black Feminist Thought, and Attachment Theory. Together, these frameworks provide a multidimensional lens for understanding how sociocultural forces, racialized embodiment, psychological processes, and relational dynamics converge to shape body image distress and intimate functioning among Black women.

Rather than functioning as isolated perspectives, the theories are used in complementary ways: Objectification Theory explains how appearance-based surveillance and shame have been internalized. Intersectionality and Black Feminist Thought situate these processes within racialized and gendered systems of power. Attachment Theory clarifies how internalized distress is enacted through relational patterns of closeness, avoidance, and vulnerability. In combination,

this framework supports interpretive approach that conceptualizes BDD as simultaneously psychopathological, relational, and culturally situated.

Objectification Theory

Objectification Theory (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997; Calogero & Tylka, 2020) posits that women in Western societies are socialized to internalize an observer's perspective of their bodies. This internalized gaze produces chronic self-monitoring, body shame, and appearance anxiety, which in turn disrupt emotional regulation, sexual functioning, and embodied well-being. Over time, individuals may experience their bodies less as lived, subjective entities and more as objects to be evaluated and managed.

Within BDD, these processes are intensified. Individuals with BDD exhibit extreme forms of self-objectification, including persistent appearance monitoring, compulsive checking and comparison, and heightened shame focused on perceived physical flaws. From this perspective, BDD represents an escalation of normative appearance surveillance into rigid, distressing, and impairing patterns.

Objectification Theory is especially relevant to intimacy because self-surveillance interferes with presence, vulnerability, and embodied connection. During physical or sexual intimacy, individuals may remain cognitively preoccupied with how they appear rather than attuned to sensation or relational engagement. This phenomenon—often described as spectating—has been associated with reduced sexual satisfaction and decreased intimacy (Quinn-Nilas et al., 2016).

For Black women, objectification is both gendered and racialized. Gendered racial sexual objectification, historical hypersexualization, and contemporary appearance-based microaggressions intensify vigilance around skin tone, hair texture, facial features, and body

contours (Stanton et al., 2022). These dynamics increase vulnerability, shame, withdrawal, and relational disruption associated with dysmorphic distress.

Intersectionality and Black Feminist Thought

Intersectionality (Crenshaw, 1989), informed by Black Feminist Thought (Collins, 2000; hooks, 1992), asserts that Black women's experiences cannot be understood through single-axis frameworks of race, gender, or class. Instead, these identities intersect to produce distinct forms of structural oppression and lived embodiment.

Within this framework, body image concerns among Black women are conceptualized not as individual insecurities but as responses to racialized systems of control, exclusion, and aesthetic hierarchy. Black Feminist scholars document how Black women's bodies have been historically commodified, surveilled, erased, and policed through Eurocentric beauty standards, colorism, texturism, and respectability politics.

Intersectionality is critical for understanding how BDD manifests in Black women. Dysmorphic preoccupations may focus on features carrying racialized meaning (skin tone, nose shape, or hair texture) and may be intensified by pressures to counter stereotypes or represent Black womanhood "appropriately." Within this context, the shame associated with dysmorphic distress is not solely intrapersonal but socially mediated.

This framework also clarifies why intimacy may be especially complex for Black women. Fear of fetishization, anxiety about confirming stereotypes, expectations of emotional strength, and experiences of hypervisibility or invisibility shape relational safety, vulnerability, and desire. Intersectionality therefore situates intimacy as a space where power, identity, and embodiment converge.

Attachment Theory

Attachment Theory (Bowlby, 1969; Hazan & Shaver, 1987) offers a relational lens for understanding how body image distress may influence expectations of closeness, responsiveness, and safety within intimate relationships. Attachment patterns shape emotional regulation, interpersonal vulnerability, all of which influence relational and sexual functioning.

Attachment insecurity has been associated with lower body satisfaction, increased appearance monitoring, fear of rejection, and heightened sensitivity to external evaluation. Within BDD, these patterns may manifest as reassurance seeking, compulsive checking, and relational ambivalence. Anxious attachment may intensify fear of abandonment linked to perceived imperfections, whereas avoidant attachment may appear as emotional distancing or avoidance of intimacy to reduce perceived exposure.

For Black women, attachment patterns may be shaped not only by early caregiving experiences but also by intergenerational trauma, race-based stress, chronic discrimination and cultural norms surrounding emotional expression. In BDD-related distress, these factors may contribute to a tension between longing for connection and fear of being seen, resulting in hypervigilance, withdrawal, or relational avoidance.

Attachment Theory compliments objectification and intersectionality by clarifying how sociocultural produced body shame is enacted within relational dynamics.

Integrated Framework and Application

Together, these theories offer a cohesive explanatory model for understanding body image distress and intimacy among Black women:

Objectification Theory: Explains the internalized gaze, body surveillance, and shame central to dysmorphic distress.

Intersectionality and Black Feminist Thought: Situate these experiences within racialized, gendered, and historical systems of power.

Attachment Theory: Clarifies how these internalized struggles shape relational patterns of intimacy, vulnerability, and avoidance.

This integrated framework informs the development of *Sex for Every Body* by emphasizing that effective intervention must consider not only symptoms, but also the sociocultural and relational contexts in which distress is experienced. By integrating embodiment, identity, and attachment, the workbook is designed to support Black women in cultivating intimacy rooted in cultural validation, relational safety, and embodied self-trust.

Mental Health, Trauma, and Embodied Intimacy

Mental health plays a foundational role in shaping intimacy across emotional, physical, and sexual domains. Relational functioning requires self-awareness, emotional regulation, trust, presence, and the capacity for vulnerability—capabilities that may be disrupted by anxiety, depression, trauma, and related symptoms. A substantial body of research links psychological distress to diminished sexual satisfaction, reduced emotional closeness, and lower relationship stability (Lee et al., 2023; Ménard & Offman, 2022). For Black women, the relationship between mental health and intimacy is further shaped by intersecting systems of racism, sexism, cultural expectations, and historical trauma.

These overlapping stressors influence emotional well-being and shape how Black women navigate closeness, desire, vulnerability, and trust in intimate partnerships (Lewis & Neville, 2021; Thomas et al., 2019). Understanding mental health in this context therefore requires attention not only of individual symptomatology but also to the sociocultural conditions in which intimacy occurs.

Mental Health and Emotional Intimacy

Emotional intimacy relies on the ability to share one’s internal world in conditions of safety and acceptance. Depression, anxiety, and trauma-related symptoms may reduce emotional availability, increase withdrawal, and disrupt communication. Depression frequently involves emotional fatigue, anhedonia, irritability, and decreased emotional responsiveness—symptoms associated with reduced emotional intimacy and increased relational conflict (Ménard & Offman, 2022).

Among Black women, emotional intimacy is further complicated by culturally embedded expectations of strength, self-reliance, and emotional containment. The “Strong Black Woman” schema may discourage vulnerability and reinforces beliefs that emotional needs should be managed independently (Lewis & Neville, 2021). Although adaptive in context, this pattern can inhibit emotional disclosure and reduce reliance on partners, undermining reciprocal intimacy. Anxiety may intensify these dynamics through hypervigilance, fear of judgment, or concern about confirming stereotypes, which may manifest as strategic emotional distancing (Thomas et al., 2019).

Mental Health and Physical Intimacy

Physical intimacy—including touch, closeness, and bodily comfort—is also sensitive to mental health functioning. Depression and anxiety may involve somatic symptoms such as fatigue, muscle tension, restlessness, and bodily discomfort, which can reduce tolerance for touch or sustained physical closeness.

Trauma-related hyperarousal can further complicate physical intimacy by rendering touch—even when desired—physiologically distressing or threatening.

For trauma survivors, the body may remain oriented toward perceived danger, contributing to avoidance of physical closeness or difficulty receiving comfort through intimate contact (Calogero & Tylka, 2020). Because Black women experience disproportionate exposure to racialized stress, community trauma, and interpersonal violence, they may be particularly vulnerable to these embodied disruptions. In such cases, physical distance may increase even emotional connection intact.

Mental Health and Sexual Intimacy

The association between mental health and sexual functioning is among the most consistently documented in the literature. Depression is strongly associated with reduced sexual desire, impaired arousal, diminished pleasure, and disengagement from sexual activity (Pujols et al., 2020). Anxiety contributes to sexual performance concerns and spectatoring, a process in which individuals monitor their bodies from an external perspective during sexual encounters, disrupting presence and pleasure (Quinn-Nilas et al., 2016).

Trauma is a strong predictor of sexual avoidance, dissociation, and sexual dysfunction.. Sexual activity may trigger intrusive memories or physiological trauma responses, making sexual intimacy emotionally complex or distressing (Calogero & Tylka, 2020). For Black women, these challenges intersect with racialized sexual scripts that shape how sexuality is expressed and constrained. Stereotypes portraying Black women as hypersexual or morally suspect may contribute to sexual inhibition, avoidant, or performance-based sexual behavior, resulting in intimacy being used to manage perception rather than foster connection (Thomas et al., 2019).

Relational Outcomes: Communication, Conflict, and Satisfaction

Mental health challenges also influence broader relational processes, including communication, conflict resolution, and relationship satisfaction. Depression and anxiety may

impair emotional clarity and expressive capacity, increasing miscommunication and relational strain. When withdrawal or dysregulation is misinterpreted as disinterest or rejection, conflict may escalate and relational safety may erode (Ménard & Offman, 2022).

Cultural expectations emphasizing restraint and self-sacrifice may further complicate communication among Black women. Prioritizing relational harmony may contribute to unmet needs, reduced disclosure, and patterns of self-silencing that deepen emotional distance (Lewis & Neville, 2021). Chronic stressors—including economic pressure, caregiving pressures, caregiving demands, and systemic racism—may also exacerbate mental health symptoms and diminished relational satisfaction over time.

Self-esteem and self-concept are important mediators in these processes. Low self-esteem is associated with fear of abandonment, hesitancy to engage in intimacy, reliance on external validation, and tolerance of unhealthy relationships dynamics. For Black women, self-esteem is often shaped by racialized beauty norms, internalized oppression, and gendered expectations of both strong and desirable (Byrd & Carter, 2020).

Protective Factors and Relational Resilience

Despite these challenges, social support and culturally affirming environments function as critical protective factors for both mental health and intimacy. Family support, community, and culturally centered networks are associated with improved self-esteem, fewer depressive symptoms, and higher relational satisfaction in Black women (Capodilupo, 2015; Wood et al., 2010). Racial socialization may buffer internalized stigma and support healthier relational functioning.

However, expectations that Black women remain strong, self-sacrificing, or emotionally self-sufficient can also restrict access to support, particularly during periods of need. This

tension may contribute to emotional isolation and untreated mental health concerns, undermine emotional connection and intimacy.

Mental health shapes all aspects of intimacy, emotional, physical, and sexual by influencing vulnerability, embodiment, communication, and relational safety. For Black women, these processes occur within sociocultural contexts shaped by racialized expectations, historical trauma, and gendered oppression. When structural pressures intersect with psychological distress, they can severely limit relational closeness and sexual agency.

Understanding how mental health and trauma shape emotional regulation, bodily safety, and relational vulnerability provides a critical foundation for examining how body image concerns—and later, clinically impairing dysmorphic distress--disrupt intimacy. Given the high comorbidity between BDD and depression, anxiety, and trauma-related symptoms, these pathways may compound dysmorphic distress and intensify intimacy impairment.

Intimacy and Body Image Among Black Women

Building on the preceding discussion of mental health and the emotional, physical, and sexual dimensions of intimacy, research consistently demonstrates that women's experiences of intimacy are closely intertwined with how they perceive, inhabit, and relate to their bodies. Body image--understood as a constellation of beliefs, emotions, and embodied related to appearance and desirability—plays a central role in shaping vulnerability, closeness, and relational engagement within intimate partnerships (Quinn-Nilas et al., 2016; Pujols et al., 2020; Sandoval et al., 2021). As discussed in the section on Black Women, body image, and identity, culturally salient domains for Black women extend beyond weight and shape to include skin tone, hair politics, facial features, and respectability-based presentation. These domains shape intimacy

through visibility management, perceived relational risk, and heightened bodily self-consciousness.

For Black women, body image intersects with intimacy through racialized and gendered experiences that shape how they are seen, interested, and valued as embodied individuals. Sociocultural stereotypes have historically constructed Black women's bodies as hypersexual, unfeminine, or morally suspect, producing tension between visibility and safety (Collins, 2000). These narratives are not only external; they may also become internalized as schemas involving worth, desirability and vulnerability. In turn, these schemas can influence emotional openness, comfort with touch and sexual self-presentation within intimate relationships.

Body Image, Emotional Intimacy, and Vulnerability

Emotional intimacy relies on openness, trust, and the willingness to be seen beyond surface qualities. Women who experience body dissatisfaction often report greater fear of rejection, heightened self-consciousness and increased emotional withdrawal in relational contexts, all of which interfere with authentic emotional connection (Pujols et al., 2020). These patterns may also inhibit self-disclosure by reinforcing beliefs that one's body—and by extension, the self—are undeserving of acceptance.

For Black women, emotional vulnerability may be further complicated by racialized gender norms such as the “Strong Black Woman,” schemas which valorizes emotional containment and self-reliance while discouraging softness or dependency (Lewis & Neville, 2021). In intimate relationships, this socialization may manifest as difficulty expressing needs, hesitancy to seek support or fear of disappointing others. When body image anxiety intersects with these pressures, emotional intimacy may require sustained negotiation between self-protection and relational closeness.

Physical Intimacy and Embodied Safety

Physical intimacy—including touch, closeness, and bodily comfort—depends on a sense of felt safety within one’s body and in connection with another person. Negative body image has been associated with discomfort with touch, heightened bodily self-consciousness, and avoidance of physical closeness (Sandoval et al., 2021). Among Black women, physical intimacy may be shaped by culturally specific concerns, including hair, skin tone, body size, and respectability norms, which may create additional barriers to embodied comfort.

Although some cultural narratives within Black communities may affirm fuller body types, Black women may still navigate competing pressures to resist and conform to dominant beauty ideals. This internal tension can destabilize bodily trust and contribute to ambivalence about physical proximity, particularly in contexts where bodies are frequently scrutinized, compared, or evaluated in ways that affect perceptions of desirability and safety (Boutté et al., 2025).

Sexual Intimacy, Body Image, and Sexual Agency

Sexual intimacy is among the domains most directly affected by body image. Negative body image has been consistently linked to decreased sexual satisfaction, inhibited desire, reduced arousal, and difficulty communicating sexual needs (Quinn-Nilas et al., 2016). Women who engage in appearance-based self-monitoring during sexual activity often struggle to remain present, a process commonly described as spectatoring. Objectification theory provides a useful framework for understanding this phenomenon, positing that internalization of the observer’s gaze foster chronic body surveillance that undermines sexual agency and pleasure (Calogero & Tylka, 2020).

For Black women, gender findings suggest that racialized objectification is associated with heightened body surveillance, depressive symptoms, and reduced sexual confidence (Stanton et al., 2022). Racialized

sexual stereotypes may also shape decision-making. Some Black women may suppress sexual expression to avoid being labeled hypersexual, whereas others may express pressure to perform desirability in ways that privilege external validation over authentic connection (Thomas et al., 2019). These dynamics can constrain sexual autonomy and make advocating for needs and boundaries more difficult, including within safer-sex negotiations and sexual health contexts (Hackett & Wingood, 2022).

Intersectionality, Self-Silencing, and Relational Avoidance

Intersectionality is crucial for understanding how race, gender, class, and body politics intersect within intimate relationships. Exposure to gendered racial microaggressions has been associated with increased relational conflict, emotional distancing, and reduced relationship satisfaction among Black women (Lewis & Neville, 2021). Appearance-based microaggressions—including comments about hair, skin color, or body type—may be internalized as threats to femininity and desirability, increasing shame and withdrawal.

Self-silencing may emerge as a relational strategy in response to these pressures. Black women who suppress emotional or physical needs to preserve relational stability may experience diminished intimacy and emotional disconnection. Body image concerns can intensify this pattern, particularly when fear of judgment or misunderstanding leads to avoidance of closeness (Félix & Williams, 2023; Stanton et al., 2022).

Digital Contexts and Contemporary Intimacy

Consistent with pathways reviewed in the Media exposure section, digital media can amplify appearance-based comparison and performance-oriented desirability. Although social media platforms offer spaces for affirmation and cultural pride, they also amplify surveillance and comparison through curated imagery, beauty filters, and monetized aesthetics that may privilege Eurocentric features (Robinson et al., 2020). Frequent Online comparison has been linked to lower self-esteem and increased sexual intimacy anxiety, particularly in relation to perceived desirability and worthiness.

Protective Factors and Relational Resilience

Protective factors are associated with more positive body image and intimacy among Black women. Strong ethnic identity, cultural pride, and affirming community connections have been shown to buffer against negative body image and promote relational confidence (Baugh et al., 2010; Capodilupo, 2015). Supportive family systems and culturally affirming messages reinforce embodiment as a source of strength, pleasure, and connection rather than critique (Evans & McConnell, 2003). Within intimate partnership, culturally grounded affirmation may facilitate vulnerability, trust, and sexual agency, counteracting the isolating effects of body-based shame.

Collectively, the literature indicates that body image and intimacy among Black women are shaped by sociocultural, relational, and structural dynamics. While general models of body image and intimacy are informative, they often underemphasize racialized visibility, gendered racial objectification, and culturally specific domains of embodiment that mediate Black women's experience. This context is essential for understanding why clinically impairing forms

of appearance-related distress such as BDD, may produce particularly disruptive patterns of avoidance, surveillance, and diminished sexual agency.

At the same time, this section does not fully capture what occurs when appearance-related distress becomes clinically entrenched and behaviorally reinforced, as in BDD. In BDD, appearance preoccupations may intensify into obsessions accompanied by compulsive checking, reassurance seeking, concealment, and avoidance. These processes reliably unfold within intimate relationships. Consequently, impairments often emerge dyadically: partners may respond through reassurance, accommodation, or misunderstanding, inadvertently reinforcing avoidance or escalating conflict, while individuals with BDD experience closeness as heightened exposure, intensifying shame, body surveillance, and spectating.

These mechanisms are further amplified for Black women, these mechanisms are further amplified by racialized beauty hierarchies, gendered racial objectification, and stereotype management, which shape both the content of appearance concerns and the relational meaning of being seen. The following section therefore synthesizes dyadic impacts and mechanistic pathways through which BDD disrupts intimacy, establishing the basis for later discussion regarding the limitations of existing BDD interventions—particularly their symptom-focused orientation and limited attention to culturally situated outcomes.

Body Dysmorphic Disorder and Intimacy: Dyadic Impact and Mechanisms

Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD) disrupts intimacy across emotional, physical, and sexual domains. Although BDD is conceptualized as an intrapersonal disorder characterized by obsessive appearance-related thoughts and compulsive behaviors, its most consequential impairments frequently emerge in relational contexts. Intimacy requires visibility, vulnerability,

and embodied presence—conditions fundamentally compromised by shame, body surveillance, and fear of exposure.

This section integrates literature on dyadic and partner impact, mechanisms of intimacy disruption, and cultural amplification for Black women, offering a unified framework for understanding how BDD shapes intimate functioning. Conceptually, BDD-related intimacy impairment can be understood as an interaction between dyadic regulation processes (e.g., partner responses, reassurance cycles) and embodied disruption mechanisms (e.g., shame, spectating, avoidance), amplified by racialized embodiment for Black women.

Dyadic and Partner Impact of Body Dysmorphic Disorder

BDD unfolds within relational systems that include intimate partners who interpret, respond to, and adapt to symptoms expression. One of the consistently documented relational consequences is avoidance of closeness across emotional, physical, and sexual domains. Individuals with BDD may withdraw not due to lack of desire for connection but due to fear of negative evaluation and anticipated exposure of perceived flaws (Veale et al., 2016).

Partner accommodation—such as participating in checking rituals, avoiding triggers, or offering repeated reassurance—may unintentionally reinforce symptom maintenance, even when offered as support. Over time, accommodation can increase partner burden and relational resentment while strengthening avoidance-based coping.

Relational strain often emerges as partners misinterpret avoidance as rejection, disinterest. Reduced sexual initiation, reluctance to be seen unclothed, and increased distancing may be experienced by partners as diminished attraction or connection rather than manifestation of dysmorphic distress (Phillips, 2009). Over time, these misunderstandings erode emotional safety and relational satisfaction.

Reassurance-seeking represents another dyadic stressor in BDD. Individuals with BDD may repeatedly seek validation regarding their appearance, while partners may experience frustration or emotional fatigue when reassurance does not reduce distress. These cycle can strain attachment

bonds, increase conflict, and heighten relational insecurity.

Shame and secrecy further undermine relational cohesion. Individuals with BDD may conceal the extent of their distress from partners due to fear of appearing superficial, irrational, or burdensome (Veale et al., 2016). For Black women, disclosure may be further inhibited by cultural expectations to remain strong, composed, or emotionally self-sufficient, allowing relational strain to accumulate while symptoms remain hidden (Lewis & Neville, 2021).

Mechanisms of Intimacy Disruption in Body Dysmorphic Disorder

Beyond dyadic processes, BDD disrupts intimacy through specific intrapersonal and embodied mechanisms that operate during moments of closeness. Central among these is shame, an affective state that promotes concealment and withdrawal rather than connection. Individuals with BDD may experience intimacy as anticipated exposure, where being seen emotionally, physically, or sexually feels threatening rather than affirming.

BDD also fosters pervasive body surveillance, in which individuals monitor their appearance from an imagined observer's perspective rather than inhabiting their bodies subjectively. This internalized gaze disrupts embodied engagement, particularly during physical and sexual intimacy. Touch and closeness may draw attention to perceived flaws, eliciting anxiety rather than comfort (Phillips, 2009).

Sexual intimacy is often the most impaired domain. Individuals with BDD commonly engage in spectating, characterized by monitoring one's appearance during sexual activity

instead of attending to sensation or emotional connection. This mechanism has been linked to reduced arousal, diminished pleasure, and lower sexual satisfaction (Quinn-Nilas et al., 2016). Fear of bodily exposure—such as being seen unclothed or from unfamiliar angles—often contributes to sexual avoidance. Although avoidance may temporarily reduce distress, it reinforces beliefs of unworthiness and increase long-term relational strain.

BDD has also been associated with diminished sexual agency, including difficulty initiating sex, expressing desire, asserting boundaries, or negotiating safer sex practices (Hackett & Wingood, 2022).

Together shame, surveillance, avoidance, and reduced agency operates in real time during intimacy, transforming closeness into a site of threat rather than connection.

Cultural Amplification of BDD-Related Intimacy Disruption for Black Women

For Black women, the dyadic and mechanistic impacts of BDD may be amplified by racialized embodiment and sociocultural histories of surveillance, objectification, and stereotype management. Gendered racial sexual objectification exposes Black women to disproportionate levels of body monitoring, hypervisibility, and appearance-based microaggressions (Stanton et al., 2022). When BDD is present, this external scrutiny becomes internalized and intensified, compounding shame and vigilance.

Racialized sexual stereotypes further complicate sexual intimacy. Fear of being hypersexualized, fetishized, or evaluated through racist tropes may inhibit sexual expression or foster intimacy oriented towards performance rather than connection (Thomas et al., 2019). Conversely, pressure to counter stereotypes through restraint or emotional containment may suppress desire and vulnerability.

Physical intimacy may also be shaped by cultural experiences related to hair politics, colorism, and historical exploitation of Black women's bodies. Concerns about natural hair, skin tone, or body shape may render touch emotionally unsafe, even within committed relationships.

Importantly, partners lack awareness of racialize beauty dynamics may misinterpret or inadvertently invalidate the distress underlying BDD symptoms, further exacerbating relational strain. Without these culturally affirming relational environments, shame and avoidance may deepen rather than resolve.

Implications for Intimacy-Centered and Culturally Responsive Intervention

The literature indicates that BDD disrupts intimacy through dyadic processes and embodied mechanisms, that these disruptions may be intensified for Black women by intersecting systems of race, gender, and cultural expectation that shaping desirability, and relational safety.

Existing BDD interventions primarily target individual symptom reduction and often underemphasize dyadic functioning, sexual intimacy, and sociocultural context. This gap highlights underscores the need for relationally informed, intimacy-centered, culturally responsive strategies that address dysmorphic symptoms alongside the lived realities of Black women's intimate lives.

The present project responds by developing a therapeutic workbook that integrates psychoeducation, embodied reflection, and culturally grounded intimacy work. Centered on relational safety, sexual agency, and embodied self-trust, *Sex for Every Body* is designed to support Black women navigating body dysmorphic distress within intimate partnerships in ways that strengthen identity and promote reconnection. The dyadic and mechanistic disruptions of BDD—particularly shame, surveillance, avoidance, and relational misattunement—highlights the

importance of interventions that extend beyond symptom reduction to address intimacy, embodiment, and cultural context.

Limitations of Existing BDD Interventions for Black Women

Although CBT and SSRIs are well-established first-line treatments for BDD, the literature reveals identifies cultural limitations that are particularly relevant for Black women. Many evidence-based interventions have been developed, tested, and validated primarily in White, Western populations, with limited attention to racialized embodiment, culturally specific beauty standards, or the sociopolitical contexts shaping body image for women of color. Consequently, the applicability and effectiveness of these interventions for Black women remain insufficiently examined.

Standard CBT protocols for BDD focus on restructuring maladaptive appearance-related cognitions, reducing compulsive behaviors (e.g., mirror checking, camouflaging, reassurance seeking), and using exposure and response prevention (ERP) to reduce avoidance (Veale et al., 2016; Castle et al., 2020). While effective for many individuals, these protocols may under attend culturally salient domains for Black women (e.g., skin tone, hair, racialized visibility). When therapeutic targets do not reflect lived experience, intervention relevance and engagement may be reduced.

Exposure-based interventions further illustrate this cultural mismatch. ERP often includes graded exposure to mirrors, public spaces, or social situations involving visible appearance. However, such exercises rarely account for the racialized nature of visibility itself.

For Black women, being seen may evoke historical and contemporary experiences of scrutiny, objectification, or discrimination. When these dynamics are not understood, exposure tasks may be experienced in practice as less therapeutic and more threatening or retraumatizing.

This gap indicates the need for culturally responsive adaptations that differentiate dysmorphic distortion from realities of racial bias.

Cognitive restructuring methods in BDD treatment often targets beliefs such as “others will judge me” or “my appearance makes me unlovable.” For Black women, due to the reported cases of racial discrimination and racialized hierarchies of beauty. Therapeutic approaches that indiscriminately frame these beliefs as inaccurate may inadvertently delegitimize real social conditions, compromising alliance and reducing engagement. This limitation highlights the importance of integrating sociocultural context into cognitive approaches, rather than reducing appearance-related behaviors in isolation.

Pharmacological treatment also raises concerns regarding cultural relevance and access. Although SSRIs can reduce obsessive–compulsive symptomatology and comorbid depression or anxiety, medication does not address identity, embodiment, and relational dimensions of BDD that may be especially salient for Black women. Inequities in access to psychiatric care, medical mistrust rooted in historical racism, and stigma surrounding mental health treatment may further limit the effectiveness and uptake of pharmacological approaches.

A related limitation is the lack of emphasis on intimacy outcomes. Many BDD studies prioritize symptom severity and general functional impairment while giving limited attention to relational domains such as emotional intimacy, physical comfort, sexual satisfaction, vulnerability, and sexual agency. This omission is particularly consequential for Black women, whose intimate experiences are shaped by intersecting systems of race, gender and body politics. Without explicit attention to intimacy, interventions may overlook a central domain of impairment related to BDD.

Finally, few intervention studies disaggregate findings by race or gender, and even fewer center Black women's experiences. This absence reflects broader patterns of clinical research invisibility and constrains development of culturally responsive adaptations. Emerging literature supports the value of identity-, embodiment-, and relationally oriented interventions, particularly for populations whose body image distress is intertwined with systemic oppression (Capodilupo, 2015; Lewis & Neville, 2021).

Taken together, these limitations indicate a significant gap in the literature: the need for culturally responsive, identity-affirming, intimacy-informed resources for Black women. Addressing this gap requires approaches that integrate psychoeducation, reflective practice, relational exercises, trauma-informed care, and contextual validation alongside evidence-based symptom-focused strategies.

The present project addresses this need by providing a structured workbook designed to complement existing clinical care while centering Black women's embodied experiences, cultural identity, and intimate lives. In doing so, it advances culturally attuned, intimacy-centered resources that attend to relational and embodied dimensions of dysmorphic distress and support outcomes such as sexual agency, comfort with visibility, and relational safety, alongside symptom reduction.

Methodology

Despite increasing attention to body image concerns, the intersection of body dysmorphic distress and intimacy remains underexplored, particularly among Black women. Existing theoretical and clinical frameworks often fail to account for culturally specific experiences, including the impact of racialized beauty standards and historical objectification. As a result,

there is a lack of culturally responsive interventions that address both body image distress and its implications for relational and sexual functioning, limiting effective support for this population.

This section outlines the conceptualization, design, development, and refinement of *Sex for Every Body*, a therapeutic workbook intended to support Black women experiencing body image distress and intimacy-related concerns consistent with mild to moderate dysmorphic features. The methodology reflects a structured, practice-based approach to developing a clinical and psychoeducational resource grounded in research, cultural responsiveness, and expert consultation.

The project integrates interdisciplinary literature, culturally responsive frameworks, and professional feedback through a multi-stage product development process. Emphasis is placed on conceptual coherence, cultural relevance, and clinical usability rather than empirical outcome testing.

Project Design

The project employed a practice-based, multi-phase product development design informed by evidence-based practice, cultural responsiveness, and trauma-informed intervention principles. The objective was to translate existing literature on Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD), body image, identity, intimacy, and racialized embodiment into a culturally responsive workbook tailored for Black women.

Consistent with the requirements of a doctoral project rather than an empirical dissertation, the focus remained on intervention development and theoretical integration. No data collection, outcome measurement, or efficacy testing was conducted. The methodological emphasis centered on conceptual clarity, theoretical alignment, and professional applicability.

Project Production Phases

Workbook development occurred in four sequential phases:

1. Literature Integration and Conceptual Mapping
2. Workbook Content Development
3. Expert Review and Revision
4. Finalization and Formatting

Each phase is described below.

Phase 1: Literature Integration and Conceptual Mapping

Phase 1 involved synthesizing cross-disciplinary literature related to BDD, body image disturbance, intimate relationships, identity development, racialized embodiment, attachment processes, and culturally responsive psychotherapy. This review informed the conceptual design of the workbook through identification of core themes, intervention targets, and relational processes relevant to Black women's lived experiences.

Conceptual mapping was used to translate theoretical frameworks into applied content. This process ensured that each workbook module reflected empirical grounding while remaining culturally situated and clinically meaningful.

Expansion and Refinement of the Literature Base

During development, the literature review was expanded and refined to strengthen theoretical alignment and ensure contemporary relevance. Particular attention was given to:

- Post-2018 peer-reviewed literature on BDD treatment
- Research on sexual functioning and body image
- Literature on racialized objectification and colorism
- Literature on attachment insecurity and relational vulnerability

- Trauma-informed care frameworks and embodied regulation practices

As somatic grounding exercises and relational communication tools were incorporated into the workbook, additional literature was integrated to support the theoretical soundness of embodied and intimacy-focused interventions. Systematic reviews of BDD treatment and cognitive-behavioral approaches were reexamined to confirm consistency with current best practice guidelines (e.g., Phillips, 2017; Veale et al., 2016).

Literature on racialized embodiment and culturally responsive psychotherapy informed the expansion of identity exploration components. Research linking body image and sexual agency among women of color further supported inclusion of intimacy-oriented modules. These refinements ensured alignment with contemporary academic discourse and theoretical fidelity.

Phase 2: Workbook Content Development

In Phase 2, workbook modules were developed and organized into a cohesive structure. Content included:

- Psychoeducational materials
- Guided reflection exercises
- Coping strategies
- Intimacy-focused prompts
- Embodiment and grounding practices
- Culturally relevant narratives and identity exploration activities

All materials were designed to promote self-awareness, self-compassion, relational insight, and embodied reflection. The workbook was intentionally structured as a psychoeducational and reflective resource rather than a diagnostic or therapeutic intervention.

Language was developed to be trauma-informed, accessible, and appropriate for use in clinical, community, or self-guided contexts.

Phase 3: Expert Review and Revision

The workbook underwent structured review by professionals with expertise in mental health, sexology, trauma-informed care, BDD, and Black women's wellness. Feedback addressed:

- Clinical accuracy
- Cultural congruence
- Ethical clarity
- Conceptual coherence
- Practical usability

Revisions were implemented to refine language, strengthen theoretical consistency, clarify scope-of-use boundaries, and enhance accessibility for both clinicians and end users.

Phase 4: Finalization and Formatting

The final phase involved comprehensive editing, formatting, and preparation for dissemination. This included:

Trauma-sensitive language review

Clarification of scope-of-use and referral disclaimers

Accessibility considerations

Preparation of digital and print-ready formats

This phase ensured professional presentation, readability, and adherence to ethical standards.

Theoretical Foundations Informing the Methodology

The methodological orientation was guided by three complementary theoretical frameworks: Objectification Theory, Intersectionality informed by Black Feminist Thought, and Attachment Theory. These frameworks served as organizing principles for workbook construction and informed the development of psychoeducational content, reflective exercises, and relational prompts.

Rather than functioning as evaluative frameworks, these theories provided conceptual scaffolding to ensure that the workbook integrated embodiment, identity, and intimacy within culturally grounded and relationally meaningful approaches.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations were central to the workbook's development. Safeguards included:

Use of trauma-informed language and structure

Avoidance of pathologizing or corrective framing

Clear distinction between psychoeducational support and psychotherapy

Explicit scope-of-use and referral disclaimers

Sensitivity to racialized and gendered experiences of embodiment

The workbook was designed to promote insight and empowerment while maintaining appropriate professional boundaries.

Revisions and Developmental Refinement

Several refinements were implemented to strengthen conceptual clarity, theoretical alignment, and institutional compliance.

One significant modification involved clarifying the scope and framing of the project. Early drafts included language consistent with empirical research methodology; in response to

committee guidance, references to data collection, analysis, and research outcomes were removed to accurately represent the project as a practice-based doctoral workbook.

A second refinement involved the expansion of the clinician appendix. The inclusion of culturally responsive assessment prompts and implementation guidance enhanced the workbook's professional applicability.

Structural revisions improved conceptual flow, with identity and embodiment foundations repositioned to precede intimacy-focused modules. Additionally, somatic and embodiment-based exercises were expanded in alignment with contemporary trauma-informed frameworks (SAMHSA, 2014), strengthening both theoretical integration and practical relevance.

Challenges During Project Development

The development of the Sex for Every Body workbook required balancing scholarly rigor with accessibility for the intended audience.

One challenge involved translating complex theoretical constructs—such as intersectionality, objectification theory, and attachment theory—into language and exercises that remained academically grounded while accessible across clinical and self-guided contexts.

A second challenge involved balancing psychoeducational content with experiential engagement. Early drafts emphasized theoretical explanation; subsequent revisions incorporated reflection prompts, journaling exercises, and embodiment practices to support active engagement.

Ensuring cultural sensitivity while avoiding pathologization required framing body image distress within broader sociocultural contexts while maintaining attention to psychological mechanisms associated with dysmorphic distress.

Finally, formatting considerations required redesigning exercises for print accessibility and expanding appendices to support both reader reflection and clinician implementation. These refinements enhanced the workbook's usability across contexts.

Outcome

The outcome of this doctoral project is the development of *Sex for Every Body*, a culturally responsive therapeutic workbook designed to support Black women navigating body dysmorphia, body image distress, and intimacy-related challenges. The workbook was developed through an iterative process informed by interdisciplinary literature in body image research, sexology, trauma-informed care, and culturally responsive psychological practice.

The completed workbook consists of thirteen chapters organized across three thematic sections. The first section introduces the sociocultural and psychological foundations of body image and embodiment among Black women, including discussions of Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD), racialized beauty standards, objectification processes, and identity development. The second section focuses on relational and intimate dimensions of embodiment, addressing topics such as vulnerability, communication, boundaries, sexual agency, and the role of body image in emotional and sexual intimacy. The final section centers integration and application, providing strategies for sustaining embodied healing and offering guidance for clinicians seeking to incorporate culturally responsive approaches when working with Black women experiencing body image distress.

Each chapter integrates psychoeducational content with structured reflective exercises designed to facilitate self-awareness, embodied reflection, and relational insight. These exercises include journaling prompts, guided self-reflection activities, embodiment awareness practices,

and relational communication tools. The workbook is designed to function both as a self-guided reflective resource and as a structured adjunct for use within therapeutic contexts.

In addition to the primary workbook chapters, the final project includes several appendices that expand the workbook's practical utility. These appendices provide additional reflective worksheets, cultural identity exploration tools, relational exercises, clinician-oriented implementation guidelines, and affirmations supporting embodied healing. Together, these materials allow the workbook to serve as both an educational and reflective resource for readers as well as a supplemental clinical tool for practitioners.

The final product represents a theory-to-practice translational contribution that integrates body dysmorphia, intersectionality, and relational intimacy into a culturally responsive applied framework. By explicitly linking body image distress to relational and sexual functioning, the workbook addresses a gap in both body image and sex therapy literature and provides a structured, clinically applicable resource.

Discussion

Overview

This doctoral project contributes to the field of sexology through the translation of contemporary literature on Body Dysmorphic Disorder (BDD), racialized embodiment, and relational intimacy into a culturally responsive applied framework. Although existing treatment literature emphasizes cognitive-behavioral and pharmacological approaches (Phillips, 2017; Veale et al., 2016), these models often insufficiently account for sociocultural and racialized influences on appearance-related distress among Black women.

This project integrates Intersectionality (Crenshaw, 1989), Objectification Theory (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997; Calogero & Tylka, 2020), and Attachment Theory (Hazan &

Shaver, 1987) within a structured therapeutic workbook. Rather than introducing a novel theoretical model, it offers a theory-to-practice translational framework that adapts established theories to a culturally specific context. This approach extends the discourse beyond intrapsychic symptom management toward identity-informed embodiment and relational functioning.

Addressing Gaps in Theoretical Understanding

Conventional BDD models conceptualize symptomatology primarily in terms of perceptual distortion, maladaptive cognitions, and compulsive behavioral cycles (Phillips, 2017). While empirically supported, these frameworks often underemphasize sociocultural contributors to body dissatisfaction and constrained sexual expression. Emerging literature has increasingly identified the roles of racialized surveillance, colorism, and internalized objectification in shaping body image among women of color.

By integrating literature on racialized embodiment and structural objectification, this project situates body dysmorphic distress among Black women as both intrapsychic and socially mediated. This perspective moves beyond reductionist cognitive interpretations while maintaining theoretical consistency with established frameworks. Accordingly, the project does not propose a new theory but instead operationalizes existing theories within a culturally grounded applied context.

Implications for Sexology

Body image is a critical determinant of sexual desire, arousal, relational vulnerability, and erotic agency. However, sexology has historically prioritized physiological dysfunction and relational discord, with less attention to racialized embodiment as a contributor to sexual inhibition.

By centering cultural and relational context, this project expands sexological understanding of how internalized surveillance, identity-based shame, and sociocultural positioning influence sexual presence and engagement. For Black women, sexual difficulties may reflect not only relational or physiological factors, but also persistent experiences of hypervisibility, aesthetic regulation, and anticipatory rejection within racialized and gendered social systems.

This reframing supports the development of identity-informed sexual health interventions and underscores the importance of culturally competent sex therapy practices.

Clinical and Professional Relevance

The translational design of the workbook provides clinicians with a culturally informed adjunctive resource. By integrating cognitive-behavioral principles with trauma-informed embodiment frameworks (SAMHSA, 2014) and intersectional reflection exercises, the workbook demonstrates how established therapeutic approaches can be adapted to incorporate cultural context without compromising theoretical integrity.

The resource may be applied across multiple clinical contexts, including as a supplement to CBT-based BDD protocols, an adjunct to trauma-focused or EMDR-informed interventions, a structured tool for group therapy, or a relational exploration resource in couples work. The inclusion of a clinician appendix further supports implementation by providing assessment prompts and culturally responsive application guidance.

More broadly, this project highlights the importance of integrating racialized embodiment into clinical assessment and treatment planning. It reframes body image distress not solely as distorted cognition, but as an experience shaped by sociocultural and structural influences.

Limitations

Although informed by contemporary peer-reviewed literature, including post-2018 treatment reviews and culturally responsive psychotherapy research, the workbook has not undergone empirical testing for effectiveness. As a practice-based doctoral project, its claims are grounded in translational synthesis rather than outcome evaluation.

Additionally, while the project centers Black women's experiences, it does not capture the full heterogeneity of Black diasporic identities across nationality, socioeconomic status, sexuality, and cultural context. Future adaptations may expand intersectional specificity and global applicability.

Future Directions

Future research should empirically examine relational and sexual functioning outcomes associated with identity-informed BDD interventions. Pilot studies are particularly warranted given emerging literature identifying interactions among attachment insecurity, racialized stress, and body dissatisfaction.

Further investigation into somatic and embodiment-based interventions may also address gaps in current BDD treatment approaches beyond exposure-based protocols. The translational framework developed in this project may serve as a foundation for empirical validation, adaptation for diverse Black populations, and expansion into clinician training models focused on culturally responsive, intimacy-centered care.

Conclusion

This doctoral project advances sexological and counseling discourse by integrating culturally responsive frameworks with the study of body dysmorphia and intimacy among Black women. Through a theory-to-practice translational approach, it synthesizes existing literature on

body image, racialized embodiment, and relational functioning into a structured, applied workbook designed for both individual and clinical use.

By situating body dysmorphic distress within intersecting sociocultural, relational, and psychological contexts, this project moves beyond models that conceptualize these concerns solely as cognitive or perceptual distortions. Instead, it positions body image experiences as shaped by systems of race, gender, and social surveillance, thereby expanding the scope of how embodiment is understood within sexology and psychotherapy.

The Sex for Every Body workbook provides a culturally grounded framework that integrates psychoeducation, guided reflection, and embodiment-based practices to support identity exploration, relational awareness, and embodied self-understanding. In doing so, it offers a clinically applicable resource that addresses the intersection of body image and intimacy—an area that remains insufficiently developed in existing interventions.

Importantly, this project underscores the necessity of culturally responsive approaches in addressing body image and sexual wellbeing. It highlights that meaningful intervention requires attention not only to intrapsychic processes but also to the broader sociocultural forces that shape how individuals experience and relate to their bodies.

As the field of sexology continues to evolve, this work contributes to a growing emphasis on identity-informed and culturally attuned frameworks. Future research may build upon this foundation through empirical evaluation, adaptation across diverse populations, and continued development of interventions that center both embodiment and relational context.

In sum, Sex for Every Body offers a theoretically grounded and clinically relevant resource that bridges body dysmorphia and intimacy through a culturally specific lens,

reinforcing the importance of integrating identity, embodiment, and relational processes in the promotion of holistic sexual and psychological wellbeing.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Author.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2022). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed., text rev.). Author.
- Awad, G. H., Norwood, C., Taylor, D. S., Martinez, M., McClain, S., Jones, B., & Holman, A. (2015). Beauty and body image concerns among African American college women. *Journal of Black Psychology*, 41(6), 540–564.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0095798414550864>
- Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M. C., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978). *Patterns of attachment: A psychological study of the strange situation*. Erlbaum.
- Banet-Weiser, S. (2018). *Empowered: Popular feminism and popular misogyny*. Duke University Press.
- Baugh, E., Mullis, R., Mullis, A., Hicks, M., & Peterson, H. (2010). Ethnic identity and body image among Black women. *Journal of Black Psychology*, 36(4), 443–464.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0095798409344082>
- Bennett, J. (2016). *Being property once myself: Blackness and the end of man*. Harvard University Press.
- Botta, R. A. (2000). The mirror of television: A comparison of Black and White adolescents' body image. *Journal of Communication*, 50(3), 144–159. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2000.tb02856.x>
- Bowlby, J. (1969). *Attachment and loss: Vol. 1. Attachment*. Basic Books.
- Brown, L. S., & Jenkins, D. A. (2021). *Trauma-informed treatment with culturally diverse populations*. American Psychological Association.

- Buhlmann, U., Glaesmer, H., Mewes, R., Fama, J. M., Wilhelm, S., Brähler, E., & Rief, W. (2010). Updates on the prevalence of body dysmorphic disorder. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 51(4), 344–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2009.10.002>
- Calogero, R. M., & Tylka, T. L. (2020). The objectification of women's bodies. In T. L. Tylka & N. Piran (Eds.), *Handbook of positive body image and embodiment* (pp. 13–28). Oxford University Press.
- Capodilupo, C. M. (2015). One size does not fit all: Using variables other than the thin ideal to understand body dissatisfaction. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 62(2), 289–299. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000069>
- Capodilupo, C. M., & Forsyth, J. (2014). The role of body image dissatisfaction in disordered eating. *Sex Roles*, 71(1–2), 43–57. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-014-0377-9>
- Capodilupo, C. M., & Kim, S. (2014). Gendered racism, body image, and psychological distress. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 61(4), 615–625. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000031>
- Cash, T. F. (2019). *Cognitive-behavioral perspectives on body image*. Guilford Press.
- Cash, T. F., & Pruzinsky, T. (1990). *Body images: Development, deviance, and change*. Guilford Press.
- Cash, T. F., Theriault, J., & Annis, N. M. (2004). Body image in an interpersonal context: Adult attachment, fear of intimacy, and social anxiety. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 23(1), 89–103. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.23.1.89.26987>
- Chae, J. (2017). Virtual makeover: Selfie-taking and social media use increase selfie-editing frequency through social comparison. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 66, 370–376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.10.007>

- Collins, P. H. (2000). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Collins, P. H. (2004). *Black sexual politics: African Americans, gender, and the new racism*. Routledge.
- Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), 139–167.
- Cross, W. E. (1991). *Shades of Black: Diversity in African American identity*. Temple University Press.
- Didie, E. R., Kuniega-Pietrzak, T., & Phillips, K. A. (2006). Body image in patients with body dysmorphic disorder. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 20(4), 451–463.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2005.04.001>
- Dolezal, L. (2015). *The body and shame: Phenomenology, feminism, and the socially shaped body*. Lexington Books.
- Evans, L., & McConnell, A. R. (2003). Do racial minorities respond differently to beauty ideals? *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 39(3), 322–330.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1031\(02\)00518-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1031(02)00518-6)
- Fardouly, J., Diedrichs, P. C., Vartanian, L. R., & Halliwell, E. (2015). Social comparisons on social media. *Body Image*, 13, 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.12.002>
- Fardouly, J., & Vartanian, L. R. (2016). Social media and body image concerns. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 9, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.07.005>
- Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations*, 7(2), 117–140.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675400700202>

- Fredrickson, B. L., & Roberts, T.-A. (1997). Objectification theory: Toward understanding women's lived experiences and mental health risks. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 21(2), 173–206. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-6402.1997.tb00108.x>
- Griffin, J., & Williams, M. T. (2018). Racial trauma and the Black female body. *Journal of Black Psychology*, 44(3), 209–232. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095798418768491>
- Hall, R. E. (1995). The bleaching syndrome. *Journal of Black Studies*, 26(2), 172–184.
- Hazan, C., & Shaver, P. (1987). Romantic love conceptualized as an attachment process. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(3), 511–524.
- Holland, G., & Tiggemann, M. (2016). A systematic review of social networking sites and body image. *Body Image*, 17, 100–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.02.008>
- Hackett, S., & Wingood, G. (2022). Sexual agency and relational power among Black women. *Journal of Black Sexuality and Relationships*, 9(1), 23–45.
- Hargons, C. N., Mosley, D. V., & Stevens-Watkins, D. (2021). Black women's sexual health and liberation psychology. *Journal of Black Psychology*, 47(3), 193–214.
- Himle, J. A., Chatters, L. M., Taylor, R. J., & Nguyen, A. W. (2014). The relationship between obsessive–compulsive disorder and race. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 28(3), 334–341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2014.03.002>
- Hooks, B. (1992). *Black looks: Race and representation*. South End Press.
- Hunter, M. (2011). Buying racial capital: Skin-bleaching and cosmetic surgery in a globalized world. *Journal of Pan African Studies*, 4(4), 142–164.
- International OCD Foundation. (2020). Assessment tools for body dysmorphic disorder. <https://bdd.iocdf.org/professionals/assessment-tools-for-bdd/>

- Jassi, A., & Krebs, G. (2023). Body dysmorphic disorder. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 46(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2022.11.002>
- Kashubeck-West, S., Coker, A. D., Awad, G. H., Stinson, R. D., Bledman, R., & Mintz, L. B. (2013). Do measures commonly used in body image research perform adequately with African American college women? *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 19(1), 94–101. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030862>
- Lewis, J. A., & Neville, H. A. (2021). Gendered racial microaggressions and body image among Black women. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 27(2), 271–282. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cdp0000353>
- Lewis, J. A., Mendenhall, R., Harwood, S. A., & Hunt, M. B. (2017). Coping with gendered racial microaggressions. *American Psychologist*, 72(5), 449–460. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0040322>
- Marques, L., et al. (2011). Disparities in mental health treatment for obsessive–compulsive spectrum disorders. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 25(2), 209–214.
- Lewis, J. A., & Neville, H. A. (2021). Construction and initial validation of the Gendered Racial Microaggressions Scale. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 27(2), 271–282.
- Ménard, A. D., & Offman, A. (2022). Body shame and emotional intimacy. *Sexual and Relationship Therapy*, 37(2), 215–232. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14681994.2020.1786018>
- Nadal, K. L. (2011). The Racial and Ethnic Microaggressions Scale. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 58(4), 470–480.
- Parker, S. (2016). Revisiting the Hottentot Venus. *Journal of Black Studies*, 47(6), 570–589. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021934716653351>

- Perkins, A. (2019). Body dysmorphic disorder: The drive for perfection. *Nursing*, 49(3), 28–35.
- Perloff, R. M. (2014). Social media effects on young women’s body image. *Sex Roles*, 71(11–12), 363–377. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-014-0384-6>
- Phillips, K. A. (2005). *The broken mirror: Understanding and treating body dysmorphic disorder*. Oxford University Press.
- Phillips, K. A. (2009). *Understanding body dysmorphic disorder: An essential guide*. Oxford University Press.
- Phillips, K. A. (2017). *Body dysmorphic disorder: Advances in research and clinical practice*. Oxford University Press.
- Piran, N. (2017). Journeys of embodiment. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 64(2), 143–156. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000210>
- Quinn-Nilas, C., Benson, L., Milhausen, R., & Wood, J. (2016). Sexual “spectatoring” and body image. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 42(2), 89–107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2015.1010671>
- Robinson, M., Ash, T., & Salim, N. (2020). Social media appearance pressures and body dissatisfaction among Black women. *Body Image*, 33, 171–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2020.03.003>
- Rogers, C. R. (1959). A theory of therapy, personality, and interpersonal relationships. In S. Koch (Ed.), *Psychology: A study of a science* (Vol. 3, pp. 184–256). McGraw-Hill.
- Sandoval, A. M., et al. (2021). Associations between body dissatisfaction and relationship functioning. *Body Image*, 36, 15–25.
- Stanton, A. M., Avery, L. R., Matsuzaka, S., & Espinel, Z. (2022). Gendered racial sexual objectification. *Sex Roles*, 86(1–2), 64–77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-021-01263-7>

- Sue, D. W., Capodilupo, C. M., Torino, G. C., Bucceri, J. M., Holder, A. M. B., Nadal, K. L., & Esquilin, M. (2007). Racial microaggressions in everyday life. *American Psychologist*, 62(4), 271–286. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.62.4.271>
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2014). SAMHSA's Concept of Trauma and Guidance for a Trauma-Informed Approach. HHS Publication No. (SMA) 14-4884. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.
- Sue, D. W., & Sue, D. (2016). *Counseling the culturally diverse: Theory and practice* (7th ed.). Wiley.
- Thomas, A. J., Witherspoon, K. M., & Speight, S. L. (2019). Gendered racism and Black women's sexual self-concept. *Sex Roles*, 81(3–4), 163–178. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-018-0974-4>
- Thompson, J. K., Heinberg, L. J., Altabe, M., & Tantleff-Dunn, S. (1999). *Exacting beauty: Theory, assessment, and treatment of body image disturbance*. American Psychological Association.
- Tiggemann, M., & Zaccardo, M. (2018). “Strong is the new skinny.” *Journal of Health Psychology*, 23(8), 1003–1014. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105316639436>
- Tylka, T. L., & Wood-Barcalow, N. L. (2015). The body appreciation scale–2. *Body Image*, 12, 53–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.09.002>
- Veale, D., & Neziroglu, F. (2010). *Body dysmorphic disorder: A treatment manual*. Wiley.
- Veale, D., et al. (2016). Body dysmorphic disorder. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 3(5), 479–489. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(15\)00424-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(15)00424-9)

- Veale, D., Gledhill, L. J., Christodoulou, P., & Hodsoll, J. (2016). Body dysmorphic disorder: A systematic review. *Body Image*, 18, 168–186.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.07.003>
- Williams, M. T., et al. (2019). Cultural adaptations of CBT. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, 26(3), 512–526.
- Wood, M., Nikel, J., & Petrie, T. (2010). Ethnic identity and body esteem. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 57(2), 141–153.
- Woertman, L., & van den Brink, F. (2012). Body image and sexual functioning. *Sexual and Relationship Therapy*, 27(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14681994.2011.649252>